

Vaccines and the Vaccine Industry

Some Food for Thought

Roger Golden Brown

December 26, 2020 - Updated February 20, 2022

Find this PDF [here](#) and lots more Global Coup related material on my [Quasar website](#).
Check out my eBook, [The Covid / Lockdown Crisis - Alternative Information & Sources](#).

All bullet pointed excerpts and statements are noted with numbers corresponding to footnotes with linked sources at the bottom of the page. Indented entries preceded by a dash (-) are quoted from the noted source.

The reader is urged to use the footnote links to further explore the material and to get context to what is stated in this PDF and to make up their own mind as to what is really going on.

Note, however, that all too often, links to "official" sites may turn out to be dead links. Information is being scrubbed regularly. Likewise some private sites may also get taken down as censorship rages on. Please see the last section in this document, [Accessing Dead or Disappeared Links](#), about the Time Machine and ways to retrieve lost web pages.

Foreword

Right off the top I want to clarify that I am not a scientist (officially) and am not a doctor of any sort. I am not an "expert". The intention of this PDF is not to invoke authority or expertise; nor is it to tell you what to think or what to do, nor is it to offer information as proof of any particular view. It is to help round out the reader's picture of vaccines and the vaccine industry by offering information that will likely never see the light of day on mainstream media. I should make it clear that when I refer to the vaccine industry I am including the CDC, the WHO, and many health authorities.

As always, read, watch, listen, look and make up your own mind.

Before Covid I was personally against vaccines, simply seeing no point in an invasive medical procedure that seemed unnecessary; I think for the most part people can get by just fine by taking care of their health. Health is not taking a drug to prevent something that you would not be vulnerable to if you were healthy. Also anyone who is paying attention knows that Big Pharma are drug pushers and should be viewed very skeptically.

Since Covid, my view is more political because the Covid vaccine is extremely rushed and many of them are a new kind of vaccine that has never been tried before and because throughout the last 9 months the authorities have dissembled or flat out lied continually about just about everything Covid.

For more detailed information about the new experimental mRNA vaccine that many, if not most, of the vaccine makers are using for Covid see my PDF titled: *Moderna and the mRNA Vaccine*. Find it [here on my website](#).

Finally, it should be understood that what's covered in this PDF is just a sampling of the industry's corruption and questionable ethics.

Table of Contents

[Are Vaccines a Modern Life Saving Miracle?](#)

Update: [Dissolving Illusions: Disease, Vaccines, and The Forgotten History](#)

[Two Studies That Indicate Vaccines Compromise Health](#)

[The Lack of Integrity in the Vaccine Industry](#)

[Governments and Health Authorities Are in Bed with Vaccine Makers](#)

[The Swine Flu Pandemic Debacles / Scams](#)

[Vaccine Propaganda](#)

[Operation Warp Speed](#)

[The Rushed Covid Vaccine, Mandatory Vaccines](#)

[Final Thoughts](#)

[Relevant Links](#)

Are Vaccines a Modern Life Saving Miracle?


Vaccines are widely touted as having been responsible for ridding us of some infectious diseases and in general as having saved innumerable lives that would have been lost to infectious diseases. There is, however, little evidence to support that.

Achievements in Public Health, 1900-1999

- The next image is from a page on the CDC website called "Achievements in Public Health, 1900-1999" and it talks also about the improvement of sanitation and hygiene and other living conditions that have helped cut down on deaths by

infectious diseases, but also gives much credit to vaccines. Curiously the chart below from the CDC page shows virtually no improvement after the introductions of vaccines: ¹

FIGURE 1. Crude death rate* for infectious diseases — United States, 1900–1996†



*Per 100,000 population per year.

- An article on the Children's Health Defense website takes an extensive look at the history of how infectious diseases have impacted people less and less since 1900, with the diseases' impact declining long before vaccines came on the scene. The article, "The Impact of Vaccines on Mortality Decline Since 1900 - According to Published Science", cites information from (and links to) several studies. From the article: ²

- Since 1900, there's been a 74% decline in mortality rates in developed countries, largely due to a marked decrease in deaths from infectious diseases. How much of this decline was due to vaccines? The history and data provide clear answers that matter greatly in today's vitriolic debate about vaccines.

- Why did the mortality rate decline so precipitously? If you listen to vaccine promoters, the answer is simple: vaccines saved us. What's crazy about this narrative is how easy it is to disprove, the data is hiding in plain sight. The fact that this easily-proven-false narrative persists, however, tells

1 CDC website - [Achievements in Public Health, 1900-1999](#)

2 Children's Health Defense website - [The Impact of Vaccines on Mortality Decline Since 1900—According to Published Science](#)

us a lot about the world we live in, and I hope will encourage parents to reconsider the veracity of many of the narratives they've been fed about vaccines, and do their own primary research.

- One study cited in the article mentioned above is a 1977 study by Boston University John and Sonja McKinlay, who compared and contrasted mortality rate trends in the United States and the advent of medical interventions specific to 11 infectious diseases. Their conclusion from the study: ³

- Conclusion: Without claiming they are definitive findings, and eschewing pretensions to an analysis as sophisticated as McKeown's for England and Wales, one can reasonably draw the following conclusions from the analysis presented in this paper:

- In general, medical measures (both chemotherapeutic and prophylactic) appear to have contributed little to the overall decline in mortality in the United States since about 1900 - having in many instances been introduced several decades after a marked decline had already set in and having no detectable influence in most instances. More specifically, with reference to those five conditions (influenza, pneumonia, diphtheria, whooping cough, and poliomyelitis) for which the decline in mortality appears substantial after the point of intervention - and on the unlikely assumption that all of this decline is attributable to the intervention - it is estimated that at most 3.5 percent of the total decline in mortality since 1900 could be ascribed to medical measures introduced for the diseases considered here.

- On the following two pages are a series of charts, all from the above cited article. ³

Chart A - from their study contrasts the steady decrease in mortality from the 11 diseases with the rise in spending on medical care, as well as showing the dramatically decreasing role that infectious diseases played in the total mortality over the course of the century.

Chart B - from the study shows the changing contribution of different categories of causes of death.

Nine Charts (in two images) - from the study show the decline of the various infectious diseases causing mortality with each one showing the advent of medical interventions.

3 John B. McKinlay and Sonja M. McKinlay Study PDF - [The Questionable Contribution of Medical Measures to the Decline of Mortality in the United States in the Twentieth Century](#)

Chart A




FIG. 2. Age- and Sex-Adjusted Mortality Rates for the United States 1900-1973, Including and Excluding Eleven Major Infectious Diseases, Contrasted with the Proportion of the Gross National Product Expended on Medical Care.

Chart B




FIG. 3. Pictorial Representation of the Changing Contribution of Chronic and Infectious Conditions to Total Mortality (Age- and Sex-Adjusted), in the United States, 1900-1973.

Nine Charts (in two images)





FIG. 4. The Fall in the Standardized Death Rate (per 1,000 Population) for Nine Common Infectious Diseases in Relation to Specific Medical Measures, for the United States, 1900-1973.



Dissolving Illusions: Disease, Vaccines, and The Forgotten History

Suzanne Humphries MD and Roman Bystranyk have written a book, [Dissolving Illusions: Disease, Vaccines, and The Forgotten History](#), in which they use many sources to expose the myth of the heroic vaccine saving us from death and suffering.

- Their webpage also offers many resources to further explore the reality of vaccines. About their book, from their webpage: ⁴

Dissolving Illusions details facts and figures from long-overlooked medical journals, books, newspapers, and other sources. Using myth-shattering graphs, this book shows that vaccines, antibiotics, and other medical interventions are not responsible for the increase in lifespan and the decline in mortality from infectious diseases. If the medical profession could systematically misinterpret and ignore key historical information, the question must be asked, “What else is ignored and misinterpreted today?”

- One of the pages on their website is a page with a myriad charts demonstrating (like the above charts do) the lack of correlation between public health and vaccines. ⁵

Two Studies That Indicate Vaccines Compromise Health

Ultimately, even if vaccines have played the helpful role of being the good guy drug eliminating the bad guy bug, the question needs to be asked if perhaps the vaccination compromises one’s overall health.

There are numerous studies that show that vaccines compromise health, but in all fairness it’s probably also true that numerous studies show the opposite. This section covers two studies; one regarding weakened resistance to other pathogens and the other showing compromised overall health in vaccinated children.

Vaccines Weaken Resistance to Other Pathogens

On the website of the Home Vaccine Education Network they have produced scatter graphs that correlate the percentage of people who got the flu vaccination in 2019 (or 2018 if 2019 data wasn’t available) with death rates from Covid. They include links to their spreadsheets and to their data sources at the end of the article.

- Their scatter graphs come from data from a short response on the BMJ (British Medical Journal) website to “The UK’s public health response to Covid-19.” From Allan S. Cunningham, retired pediatrician: ⁶

4 Dissolving Illusions website: [Dissolving Illusions: Disease, Vaccines, and The Forgotten History](#)

5 Dissolving Illusions website: [Charts and Images](#)

6 BMJ (British Medical Journal) website - [The UK’s public health response to covid-19](#)

- A whimsical suggestion: correlate influenza vaccine uptake with Covid-19 frequency. Reference has been made to the possibility that influenza vaccines increase the risk of coronavirus infections and that this might be relevant to Covid-19 infections. I suggest that we look at influenza vaccine uptake in the elderly and Covid-19 death rates in European countries, using existing data.


- From the introduction to their study, titled “Flu Injection -- Fatal Infection”, on the Home Vaccine Education Network website: ⁷

- What connects the countries of Belgium, Peru, the UK, Spain, Italy, Chile, Sweden, the US, Mexico, and France? These countries, the top ten in Covid-19 mortality (as of August 24, 2020, according to Johns Hopkins), all injected more than 49% of their elderly populations with the flu vaccine. This stands in stark contrast to the countries on the low end, with fatality rates/population up to four orders of magnitude smaller: Rwanda, Thailand, Mozambique, Sri Lanka, Papua New Guinea, Uganda, Tanzania, Taiwan, and Vietnam - with the exception of a 49% vaccination rate in Taiwan, the flu vaccination rates in these countries is extremely low.

- From the scatter graphs, which they say are from data “updated through July 16 and supplemented with every other country for which we could find influenza vaccine data”, their first conclusion: ⁵ (footnote above)

- There are several important messages from these graphs: Countries with high flu vaccine coverage, as of July 2020, suffered up to at least 20 times more Covid-19 fatalities / million as countries with low coverage.

- Their first graph showing Covid deaths per million compared with vaccination rates: ⁵



Vaccinated Vs. Unvaccinated: The Study The CDC Refused To Do

The CDC has never done a study comparing the overall health of children vaccinated vs. those unvaccinated. On November 22, 2020 James Lyons-Weiler and Paul Thomas published just such a study. Shortly after it was published Paul Thomas had his medical license suspended.

The study looked at “billed office visits” of children, comparing vaccinated and unvaccinated children, and tracking how much the vaccinated children had been vaccinated.

- The Informed Consent Action Network submitted a FOIA request to the CDC on June 26, 2020 demanding: “All documents in the CDC’s possession which compare the health outcomes of children that have received vaccines with children that have never received any vaccines.” After a back and forth exchange of letters, the CDC finally answered conclusively: ⁸
 - A search of our records failed to reveal any documents pertaining to your request. The CDC has not conducted a study of health outcomes in vaccinated vs unvaccinated populations.
- From an article about the study on the Informed Choice Washington website, they quote from the medical textbook, Kuby’s Immunology, which looks at vaccines in a holistic way that is commonly overlooked in Western medicine: ⁹
 - The immune system is also much more than an isolated component of the body, merely responsible for search-and-destroy missions. In fact, it interleaves with many of the other body systems, including the endocrine, nervous, and metabolic systems, with more connections undoubtedly to be discovered in time.
- Dr. Paul Thomas, a board-certified fellow of the American Academy of Pediatrics, is not anti-vaccine; he does however believe in informed consent and goes over the data with the parents of his patients. ¹⁰
- The study is titled, “Relative Incidence of Office Visits and Cumulative Rates of Billed Diagnoses Along the Axis of Vaccination”. The first paragraph of the “Abstract” from the study: ¹¹

8 Informed Consent Action Network website - [CDC Concedes It Has Never Conducted Study Of Vaccinated VS. Unvaccinated Children](#)

9 Informed Choice Washington website - [It’s Here! The Vaxxed vs Unvaxxed Study!](#)

10 Health Impact News website - [Oregon Pediatrician Publishes Study Comparing His Vaccinated and Unvaccinated Children Then Has His Medical License Suspended](#)

11 Informed Choice Washington website - [Relative Incidence of Office Visits and Cumulative Rates of Billed Diagnoses Along the Axis of Vaccination](#)

- We performed a retrospective analysis spanning ten years of pediatric practice focused on patients with variable vaccination born into a practice, presenting a unique opportunity to study the effects of variable vaccination on outcomes. The average total incidence of billed office visits per outcome related to the outcomes were compared across groups (Relative Incidence of Office Visit (RIOV)). RIOV is shown to be more powerful than odds ratio of diagnoses.

- The natural Positive and Negative “Controls” for the study explained: ⁹
 - It is well known that “fever” is a side effect of vaccination. In this analysis, we therefore used incidence of “fever” as positive controls on trends in the data. Similarly, “Well Child” visits can be considered a type of negative control given that they were regularly scheduled events and that they set a comparator value of RIOV for other outcomes.
- The following table is the first table shown under the Results section. The Vaxxed and Unvaxxed columns are the total office visits for the listed conditions. There are however approximately 5 times (4.925) as many patients who were vaccinated. The RIOV column adjusts for that showing the relative amount of visits, vaxxed compared to unvaxxed. ⁹

Table 2. RIOV and test of proportions of office visits per condition for the fully vaccinated (N1 = 2763) vs. (never) unvaccinated (N2 = 561) groups comparison: these results are not adjusted for days of care. CI = confidence interval.

Condition	Vaxxed	Unvaxxed	RIOV	95% CI	Z	p
Fever	759	17	9.065	8.801	12.476	<0.0001
“Well Child” Visits	32,826	4987	1.336	1.149	6.540	<0.0001
Ear Pain	269	16	3.414	3.232	5.310	<0.0001
Otitis media	3105	216	2.919	2.518	23.441	<0.0001
Conjunctivitis	1018	87	2.376	1.935	9.783	<0.0001
Eye Disorders (Other)	277	31	1.814	1.586	3.350	0.0008
Asthma	336	13	5.248	5.065	6.693	<0.0001
Allergic Rhinitis	405	12	6.853	6.662	8.158	<0.0001
Sinusitis	107	5	4.345	4.240	3.566	0.00036
Breathing Issues	621	44	2.866	2.561	7.898	<0.0001
Anemia	979	36	5.522	5.181	13.603	<0.0001
Eczema	512	23	4.520	4.281	8.479	<0.0001
Urticaria	174	17	2.078	1.908	3.027	0.00244
Dermatitis	742	105	1.435	0.992	4.034	<0.0001
Behavioral Issues	343	17	4.097	3.900	6.087	<0.0001
Gastroenteritis	688	30	4.656	4.374	6.543	<0.0001
Weight/Eating Disorders	1115	90	2.515	2.056	10.264	<0.0001
Seizure	43	8	1.091	0.985	0.229	0.8181

RIOVs were calculated using the number of patients as the sample size in each group (Vaxxed and Unvaxxed) with the exception of well-child visits and otitis media visits, both of which were greater in number than the number of patients.

The Lack of Integrity in the Vaccine Industry

This section looks at just a sampling of questionable relationships and practices that are part of the vaccine industry.

The Pentagon's DARPA

The Vaccine Industry works with DARPA, the Pentagon's Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency, a research and development agency of the United States Department of Defense. DARPA is known for developing exotic weaponry. (See the Operation Warp Speed section later in this PDF for more about the military and its involvement with the current push for the development and distribution of the Covid vaccine.)

- Moderna, Inc., one of the major developers of the new mRNA vaccine shows DARPA as one of their Strategic Collaborators on their website.¹²
- In 2017, in collaboration with DARPA, Moderna introduced its first infectious disease therapeutic development candidate, mRNA-1944.¹³

Vaccine Research Was Done Using Foster Children

- In the 1990's the National Institutes of Health used questionable tactics to test HIV drugs. Anthony Fauci was director of the NIAID, part of NIH, at that time. From the CBS news website:¹⁴
 - Government-funded researchers tested AIDS drugs on hundreds of foster children over the past two decades, often without providing them a basic protection afforded in federal law and required by some states, an Associated Press review has found.
 - The government provided special protections for child wards in 1983. They required researchers and their oversight boards to appoint independent advocates for any foster child enrolled in a narrow class of studies that involved greater than minimal risk and lacked the promise of direct benefit.
 - However, researchers and foster agencies told AP that foster children in AIDS drug trials often weren't given such advocates even though research institutions many times promised to do so to gain access to the children.

12 Moderna's website - [Strategic Collaborators](#)

13 Moderna's website - [Two New Scientific Milestones Validate Moderna's mRNA Platform](#)

14 CBS News website - [AIDS Drugs Tested On Foster Kids](#)

The Push to Allow Children to Make Their Own Decisions on Vaccines

- In October the Washington D.C. Council passed a bill, B23-0171, that allows children as young as 11 years old to get vaccinated without parental consent. From the Washington Post: ¹⁵
 - A bill passed by the D.C. Council on Tuesday would allow children as young as age 11 to obtain vaccinations without their parents' consent.
 - Under the legislation, if a doctor determines that a minor is capable of informed consent, they would be able to seek government-recommended vaccinations their parents object to on religious grounds.
 - The bill, which was approved 12 to 1, requires that the doctor send the vaccination record in such cases to the child's school, rather than to the parents, and seek compensation directly from the insurance company without involving the parents.
- Schools can keep the vaccination secret from the parents. From Vaccine Reaction website: ¹⁶
 - B23-0171 compels schools (bill lines 52-54) to conceal vaccine administration from parents, whose children give consent to receive vaccinations on their own, even when their parents have already declined one or more vaccines for health risk reasons or have filed a religious vaccine exemption.
 - While B23-0171 blocks parents from getting access to their child's vaccination status, the bill does permit schools to share the child's vaccination record with the DC Department of Health or the school-based health center (bill lines 53-54).
- Bill B23-0171 was finalized and passed as D.C. Law 23-193. From the Council of the District of Columbia website: ^{17 18}
 - After mayoral review, it was assigned Act No. 23-532 on Dec. 23, 2020, and transmitted to Congress for its review. D.C. Law 23-193 became effective Mar. 16, 2021.
- In an article titled, "How to get vaccinated without parental consent! Yea! WikiHow did that!", the author writes about a WikiHow website page (since taken down but available thanks to the Wayback Machine, footnote 18) that walks children through

15 Washington Post website - [D.C. Council approves bill allowing children to get vaccines without parents' consent](#)

16 Vaccine Reaction website - [DC Bill B23-0171 Allowing Children 11 Years and Older to Be Vaccinated Without Parental Knowledge or Consent Advances](#)

17 Council of the District of Columbia website - [B23-0171 - Minor Consent for Vaccinations Amendment Act of 2019](#)

18 Council of the District of Columbia website - [D.C. Law 23-193. Minor Consent for Vaccinations Amendment Act of 2020](#)

how to get vaccinated without their parents' consent and without them knowing. Talking about WikiHow, the author comments on the methods outlined for the kid and explains: ^{19 20}

- Their newest task; they are helping our young people learn how to lie to their parents so that they can go ahead and make decisions that they are not prepared to make. Now YOUR child can search the Internet about vaccines, and WikiHow has created a how-to article that will help them step-by-step get a vaccine without their parent's consent.

- People, we are in different times, and at some point we have to stand up to these supposed reputable: researchers, writers, and editors who are pushing our children to lie, conceal, and do things to themselves that they cannot comprehend at the time. Finally, they close in the most targeted way; "Stay away from conspiracy theorists and disreputable websites. They may say inaccurate and confusing things." Said the pot calling the kettle black!

- Update, March 21, 2022. In a case brought by Childrens Health Defense, a judge ruled against the D.C. law. From the Childrens Health Defense website: ²¹
 - The U.S. District Court For The District Of Columbia On March 18 Issued An Order Granting A Preliminary Injunction Prohibiting The Mayor Of The District Of Columbia, The D.C. Department Of Health And D.C. Public Schools From Enforcing The D.C. Minor Consent For Vaccination Amendment Act Of 2020 (D.C. Minor Consent Act) Until Further Order Of The Court.
- Documents from the court: ^{22 23}

Governments and Health Authorities Are in Bed with Vaccine Makers

In an obvious conflict of interest, many health authorities work with vaccine makers who play much more than an advisory role. And the vaccine makers work with the governments of the world to get the taxpayer to pay for their vaccines and for the compensation for damage done by their vaccines.

19 Toronto Caribbean website - [How to get vaccinated without parental consent! Yea! WikiHow did that!](#)

20 WikiHow website (via the Wayback Machine) - [How to Get Vaccinated Without Parental Consent](#)

21 The Childrens Health Defense website - [Judge Rules 11-Year-Olds Can't Get Vaccines Without Parents' Consent](#)

22 United States District Court for The District of Columbia - [Order - Upon consideration of Plaintiffs' motion for preliminary injunction](#)

23 United States District Court for The District of Columbia - [Memorandum Opinion](#)

The Pharmaceutical Industry Spends the Most on Lobbying

- The Pharmaceutical / Health Products Industry spends the most money on lobbying; one and a half times as much as Insurance, the 2nd biggest spender. (Note that the total includes also the sellers of medical products and nutritional and dietary supplements.) From an article on the Investopedia website, using data (which they link to) from opensecrets.org: ²⁴ ²⁵
 - From Jan. 1998 to March 31, 2020. Pharmaceuticals/Health Products: \$4,450,373,773.

The 1986 Vaccines Act

In 1986 Congress passed and Reagan signed into law the Vaccine Act that gave Big Pharma indemnity.

- In a 2017 paper called Recalibrating Vaccination Laws, Efthimios Parasidis, Associate Professor of Law and Public Health, Moritz College of Law and the College of Public Health, The Ohio State University makes the case that the Vaccine Act isn't fair and should be reworked: ²⁶
 - The United States is in the midst of a vaccine crisis. States utilize their police power to establish vaccine mandates, but the federal government - via the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act of 1986 ("Vaccine Act") - maintains responsibility for incentivizing vaccine innovation, ensuring a stable vaccine market, and affording compensation for vaccine-related injuries.
 - Manufacturers enjoy robust immunity from tort claims for vaccine-related injuries. However, once a vaccine is approved and made available to the public, a manufacturer does not have a statutory obligation to actively collect and analyze safety and efficacy data, nor are manufacturers obligated to update vaccine formulas in light of new scientific advancements.
- From his conclusion, the first two and the last two sentences: ²⁴
 - Vaccines provide excellent protection for most individuals and society, but "they are not . . . universally effective or completely safe." Rather, immunizations involve "a high-stakes scenario in which some individuals will suffer catastrophic losses and in which no one can know who will be injured."

24 Investopedia website - [Which Industry Spends the Most on Lobbying?](#)

25 OpenSecrets.org website - [Influence & Lobbying - Lobbying](#)

26 Study by Efthimios Parasidis PDF - [Recalibrating Vaccination Laws](#)

- Principles of justice and fairness dictate that stakeholders work responsibly towards minimizing harm, and that adequate compensation be afforded to the unfortunate few who suffer vaccine-related injuries. Recalibrating vaccination laws to account for twenty-first century innovations will help build public trust and further the public health goals of immunization policy.

Immunize Canada

- From the About Us page of the Immunize Canada website: ²⁷
 - Immunize Canada is a national coalition of non-governmental, professional, health, government and private sector organizations with a specific interest in promoting the understanding and use of vaccines recommended by the National Advisory Committee on Immunization (NACI).
- Along with over 30 medical and health groups on the Member Organizations page they list their sponsors: ²⁸
 - GlaxoSmithKline Limited
 - Merck Canada Inc.
 - Seqirus - a CSL Limited Company
 - Pfizer Canada
 - Sanofi Pasteur Limited

Medical “Experts” are Paid to Sell You on Vaccines

- In 2008, CBS News investigative correspondent Sharyl Attkisson looked into the financial connections between vaccine advocates and vaccine makers: ²⁹
 - They're some of the most trusted voices in the defense of vaccine safety: the American Academy of Pediatrics, Every Child By Two, and pediatrician Dr. Paul Offit. But CBS News has found these three have something more in common - strong financial ties to the industry whose products they promote and defend.
 - The vaccine industry gives millions to the Academy of Pediatrics for conferences, grants, medical education classes and even helped build their headquarters. The totals are kept secret, but public documents reveal bits and pieces.
 - A \$342,000 payment from Wyeth, maker of the pneumococcal vaccine - which makes \$2 billion a year in sales.

27 Immunize Canada website - [About Us](#)

28 Immunize Canada website - [Member Organizations](#)

29 CBS website - [How Independent Are Vaccine Defenders](#)

- A \$433,000 contribution from Merck, the same year the academy endorsed Merck's HPV vaccine - which made \$1.5 billion a year in sales.
- Offit has strong industry ties. In fact, he's a vaccine industry insider. Offit holds in a \$1.5 million dollar research chair at Children's Hospital, funded by Merck. He holds the patent on an anti-diarrhea vaccine he developed with Merck, Rotateq, which has prevented thousands of hospitalizations. And future royalties for the vaccine were just sold for \$182 million cash. Dr. Offit's share of vaccine profits? Unknown.

The CDC is a Subsidiary of the Vaccine Industry

- In a short 5 minute video, Robert Kennedy Jr., talking about vaccines in an interview with Tucker Carlson, explains how the CDC is in bed with Big Pharma: ³⁰
 - CDC is a subsidiary of the vaccine industry. It sells \$4.1 billion worth of vaccines a year. It spends \$4.6 billion, almost half its budget, promoting vaccines. And it only spends \$20 million testing vaccines.
- On the CDC website page called CDC Contract Funding Allocations, under the title is says: ³¹
 - In fiscal year (FY) 2019, OFR processed 10,609 contract actions that totaled \$5.7 billion. The table below shows how CDC contracts are allocated among CDC's centers, institute, and offices (CIOs). The majority of contract spending was through the National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases (NCIRD), primarily for the purchase of vaccines.
- The table in the article shows that 74% of their contract allocations, of the \$5.7 billion they spend, goes to the National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases: ²⁹
 - NCIRD - \$4,231,948,177
- In a nuts and bolts 5 paragraph article from the LawFirms website they state that CDC members make money from vaccine related patents and that they claim there is not conflict of interest. From the article: ³²
 - When prompted with questions pertaining to their financial connections with pharmaceutical companies, most ACIP [CDC's Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices] members claim they are able to remain unbiased despite the rewards they receive every time a new vaccination is recommended to the public. In numerous instances, vaccines

30 YouTube - [Robert F. Kennedy Jr: My response to John Oliver](#)

31 CDC website - [CDC Contract Funding Allocations](#)

32 LawFirms website - [CDC Members Own More Than 50 Patents Connected to Vaccinations](#)

released to the market are later removed after serious side effects are documented. The rotavirus vaccine was one such example; it was pulled from the market in 1999, a year after its initial approval. In 2001, the House Government Reform Committee found that four out of the eight ACIP members who voted to approve the vaccine had direct financial ties to one or more of the pharmaceutical companies who produced the vaccine for public use. Similar situations involving many other vaccinations have been independently documented over the course of nearly 20 years.

- To see a Google search page showing the CDC owned patents, click the linked footnote.

33

The Swine Flu Pandemic Debacles / Scams

In 1976 and in 2009 there were scams pulled off in concert with governments and vaccine makers. Curiously both were related to swine flues.

The CDC and the 1976 Swine Flu Pandemic Scam

In 1976 there was an “outbreak” of a swine flu. The consequences? A major vaccination program, 1/4 of all Americans vaccinated, lots of money for Big Pharma, and many people getting Guillain-Barré Syndrome from the rushed vaccine. From the flu itself: 1 dead and 13 hospitalized.

- From Wikipedia: ³⁴

- In 1976, an outbreak of the swine flu caused one death, hospitalized 13, and led to a mass immunization program. After the program began, the vaccine was associated with an increase in reports of Guillain-Barré Syndrome, which can cause paralysis, respiratory arrest, and death.

- The House Appropriations Committee reported out a special appropriations bill, including \$135 million for the swine flu immunization program, which was approved on April 5.

- The CDC initiated their push to vaccinate all Americans in spite of the fact that there was nothing resembling an "outbreak". From a “60 Minutes” report by Mike Wallace done in 1979 about the swine flu vaccination debacle: ^{35 36}

- Wallace (narration): Dr David Sencer, then head of the CDC - the Center of Disease Control in Atlanta - is now in private industry. He devised the swine flu program and he pushed it.

33 Google - [Google Patents Search Showing CDC Owned Patents](#)

34 Wikipedia - [1976 swine flu outbreak](#)

35 Quasar website - [The 1976 Swine Flu Vaccine - 1979 CBS '60 Minutes' Transcript](#)

36 BitChute - ["Dangers of the Swine Flu Vaccine" CBS 60 Minutes 1979](#)

- Wallace (video interview): You began to give flu shots to the American people in October of '76?

- Dr. Sencer: October 1st.

- Wallace: By that time, how many cases of swine flu around the world had been reported?

- Dr. Sencer: There had been several reported, but none confirmed. There had been cases in Australia that were reported by the press, by the news media. There were cases in -

- Wallace: None confirmed? Did you ever uncover any other outbreaks of swine flu anywhere in the world?

- Dr. Sencer: No

- The drug companies insisted on indemnity if they were to produce the vaccines: ³²

- Assistant Secretary Theodore Cooper (HEW) informed the White House on June 2 that indemnity legislation would be needed to secure Merrell's cooperation. In June, other vaccine manufacturers requested the same legislation. A little more than two weeks later, the Ford administration submitted a proposal to Congress that offered indemnity to vaccine manufacturers.

- In total, GBS cases occurred in 362 patients during the six weeks after influenza vaccination of 45 million persons, an 8.8-fold increase over normal rates.

- The CDC also showed its lack of integrity by lying to the public about the vaccine that they were using. From the same "60 Minutes" report: ^{37 38}

- Wallace (narration): Now, nearly everyone was to receive a shot in a public health facility where a doctor might not be present, therefore it was up to the CDC to come up with some kind of official consent form giving the public all the information it needed about the swine flu shot. This form stated that the swine flu vaccine had been tested. What it didn't say was that after those tests were completed, the scientists developed another vaccine and that was the one given to most of the 46 million who took the shot. That vaccine was called "X-53a".

- Wallace (video interview): Was X-53a ever field tested?

- Dr. Sencer [then head of the CDC]: I - I can't say. I would have to...

- Wallace: It wasn't.

37 Quasar website - [The 1976 Swine Flu Vaccine - 1979 CBS '60 Minutes' Transcript](#)

38 BitChute - ["Dangers of the Swine Flu Vaccine" CBS 60 Minutes 1979](#)

The WHO and the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic Scam

In 2009 the World Health Organization declared the current swine flu a pandemic. This was done in co-ordination with vaccine manufacturers in order to sell vaccines. One month before declaring the Swine Flu (H1N1) a “pandemic” the WHO changed the definition of pandemic to a less stringent one. The “pandemic” proved to be milder than the flu.

- On the Europa.is website, they have a video of several news reports that cover the details of the scam. The video is in Spanish but click the gear icon to get subtitles. Under the video they outline a short “Pandemic timeline”: ³⁹
 - April 2009 – the first case of swine flu/influenza A was declared in Mexico.
 - May 2009 – the WHO changed its original 2005 definition of “Pandemic”, lowering its standard by removing the criterion for a high mortality rate.
 - June 2009 – the WHO now declares “Pandemic” for the N1H1 [*sic*] virus: the swine flu or influenza A. This forces all countries in the world to spend billions to buy vaccines from Big Pharma for the whole population.
 - December 2009 – only a few months later, the pandemic proved to be unfounded, it was less than an ordinary flu. Most of the purchased vaccines were left unused and had to be thrown away or ‘placed’ in developing countries, with no clear objective.
 - March 2020 almost identical situation for Covid-19, with the main difference that the media is now deeply involved, although broadcasting a single one-sided message: that the situation is an emergency and that the only solution is to vaccinate everybody, even if many experts have completely dissenting opinions.
 - In fact both Dr. Germán Velazques (director at the WHO) and Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg (director EU commission of health) condemned the fact that commercial profit greatly influenced WHO’s decision in 2009. Now, 11 years later, those private commercial interests only have increased, exponentially!
- The line in the timeline above saying “This forces all countries in the world to spend billions to buy vaccines from Big Pharma for the whole population” is because in 2004 the WHO and many countries worked out a plan for the countries to stockpile vaccines ahead of any outbreak. From the Guardian website, June 3, 2010: ⁴⁰

39 Europa.is website - [May 2009: WHO lowers criteria of “Pandemic” definition](#)

40 The Guardian website - [Report condemns swine flu experts' ties to big pharma](#)

- Scientists who drew up the key World Health Organisation guidelines advising governments to stockpile drugs in the event of a flu pandemic had previously been paid by drug companies which stood to profit, according to a report out today.

- An investigation by the British Medical Journal and the Bureau of Investigative Journalism, the not-for-profit reporting unit, shows that WHO guidance issued in 2004 was authored by three scientists who had previously received payment for other work from Roche, which makes Tamiflu, and GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), manufacturer of Relenza.

- City analysts say that pharmaceutical companies banked more than \$7bn (£4.8bn) as governments stockpiled drugs. The issue of transparency has risen to the forefront of public health debate after dramatic predictions last year about a swine flu pandemic did not come true.

- The UK, which warned that 65,000 could die as a result of the virus, spent an estimated £1bn stockpiling drugs and vaccines; officials are now attempting to unpick expensive drug contracts.

Vaccine Propaganda

Regardless of what you are inclined to think about pretty much any subject it's important to be very critical in your thinking; to be aware of what influences have shaped your beliefs or attitudes. This has never been more true than with vaccines. Selling vaccines is big big business and there are lots of parties who would like to shape your attitude. Across the spectrum of mainstream media and from mainstream "experts", what you are being told about vaccines is part of an advertising campaign.

First, before several examples of the vaccine propaganda, see how much our children are getting vaccinated and a response to that, calling for an open and democratic approach to health education.

- According to the CDC's recommended vaccine schedule, shown on their website, children should get at least 25 doses of 10 different vaccines by the time they are 15 months old. ⁴¹
- Following is a well stated declaration of the need for openness and honesty regarding vaccines at a time when vaccines have become so prevalent. From the Home Vaccine Education Network About page on their website: ⁴²

41 CDC website - [Recommended Child and Adolescent Immunization Schedule for ages 18 years or younger, United States, 2020](#)

42 Home Vaccine Education Network website - [About](#)

- We are a group of parents, all former vaccinators, who also happen to be activists, journalists, and PhD scientists. We were caught blindsided in our own lives, unaware of the stark disconnect between the popular propaganda about vaccines and the actual dire lack of safety information. As increasingly invasive vaccine mandates march across the globe, government and industry is actively inhibiting open discussion of whether the rapidly increasing epidemic of immune dysfunction affecting our bodies, brains, and lives, including cancer, autoimmune disease, Alzheimer's, ADHD/autism, and mental illness might be connected to the multiple injections designed specifically to modify the immune system. They tell us the issue has been settled when actual reading of the scientific literature shows this to be far from the truth.

- We are a group of parents who realize that nothing will change, and democracy will not function, unless people step up to critically read the science for themselves and to educate themselves and their neighbors. A government of the people, and for the people, only works when the people do their homework.

Yale University Vaccination Messaging

On July 7th Yale University published a study called "Covid-19 Vaccine Messaging". The study is exploring the ways they can make people, through psychological manipulation, more likely to look favorably at getting vaccinated.

- Here is the first sentence of its summary, published on the Clinical Trials.gov website: ⁴³

- This study tests different messages about vaccinating against Covid-19 once the vaccine becomes available.

- They have a list of the psychological approaches they can use depending on a person's inclinations. From the study on the ClinicalTrials.gov website, here is the list of their 12 "messages" they are testing: ⁴¹

- Control message
- Baseline message
- Personal freedom message
- Economic freedom message
- Self-interest message
- Community interest message
- Economic benefit message
- Guilt message
- Embarrassment message

43 Yale Study, Clinical Trials website - [COVID-19 Vaccine Messaging, Part 1](#)

Anger message

Trust in science message

Not bravery message

- It is interesting to observe their approach and to read the language they use for each of these messages. Two examples: ⁴¹

- Personal freedom message - is a message about how Covid-19 is limiting people's personal freedom and by working together to get enough people vaccinated society can preserve its personal freedom.

- Not bravery message - describes how firefighters, doctors, and front line medical workers are brave. Those who choose not to get vaccinated against Covid-19 are not brave.

CDC Propaganda Campaign Ahead of the Flu Season

In preparation for what they call the “2004-05 Influenza Vaccination Season” the CDC published a PDF by Glen Nowak, Ph.D., Associate Director for Communications, National Immunization Program. The PDF goes into how best to sell the idea of getting the flu vaccine. One of the sections is called the communications recipe for success, where the author suggests ways to use fear in propaganda to increase demand for vaccines.

Keep in mind that these communication suggestions are being given well ahead of the flu season so any suggestions to characterize the flu as “severe” or “deadly” are pure propaganda; not based on anything real.

- Shown below are some of the ingredients to their “recipe”. From the CDC’s PDF, Planning for the 2004-05 Influenza Vaccination Season: A Communication Situation Analysis: ⁴⁴

- 2. Dominant strain and/or initial cases of disease are: In cities and communities with significant media outlets (e.g., daily newspapers, major TV stations).

- 3. Medical experts and public health authorities publicly (e.g., via media) state concern and alarm (and predict dire outcomes) - and urge influenza vaccination.

- 4. The combination of ‘2’ and ‘3’ result in: A. Significant media interest and attention. B. Framing of the flu season in terms that motivate behavior (e.g., as “very severe,” “more severe than last or past years,” “deadly”).

- 5. Continued reports (e.g., from health officials and media) that influenza is causing severe illness and/or affecting lots of people - helping

foster the perception that many people are susceptible to a bad case of influenza.

- 6. Visible/tangible examples of the seriousness of the illness (e.g., pictures of children, families of those affected coming forward) and people getting vaccinated (the first to motivate, the latter to reinforce).

Johns Hopkins Uses “Design Thinking” to “Prepare” the Public for the Covid Vaccine

Johns Hopkins published a PDF in July with the Orwellian title, "The Public's Role in Covid-19 Vaccination: Planning Recommendations Informed by Design Thinking and the Social, Behavioral, and Communication Sciences. Working Group on Readying Populations for Covid-19 Vaccine".

- A couple of excerpts from the July 2020 Johns Hopkins PDF: ⁴⁵
 - Executive Summary - This report considers human factors in relation to future vaccines against the novel coronavirus (SARS-CoV-2), drawing on insights from design thinking and the social, behavioral, and communication sciences. It provides recommendations - directed to both US policymakers and practitioners, as well as nontraditional partners new to public health's mission of vaccination - on how to advance public understanding of, access to, and acceptance of vaccines that protect against Covid-19.
 - Finally, human-centered design principles (aka “design thinking”) can help improve the planning and implementation of the Covid-19 vaccination program. Joined by private foundations, Operation Warp Speed (OWS) should commit a portion of its budget and work through the National Institutes of Health (NIH), the National Science Foundation (NSF), and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) to support rapid response research into the human factors related to Covid-19 vaccination.

Adam Schiff Wants to Censor Negative Information About Vaccinations

Censorship is propaganda. Censorship of one side of a debate means you are only hearing and only being exposed to what someone wants you to be. Censorship is propagandist's favorite tool.

- On February 14, 2019 Adam Schiff, powerful Democratic Representative, wrote to Google and Facebook CEOs requesting that they censor information about vaccines. From his letter to Sundar Pichai, Google CEO: ⁴⁶

⁴⁵ Johns Hopkins PDF - [Working Group on Readying Populations for COVID-19 Vaccine](#)

⁴⁶ Adam Schiff, Congressman website - [Schiff Sends Letter to Google, Facebook Regarding Anti-Vaccine Misinformation](#)

- Dear, Mr. Pichai: As more Americans use the Internet and social media platforms as their primary source of information, it is important that we explore the quality of the information that they receive ...

- What steps do you currently take to prevent anti-vaccine videos or information from being recommended to users, either algorithmically or as a suggested search result?

- The Association of American Physicians and Surgeons wrote an article on their website about the fact that they are suing Adam Schiff for trying to censor open availability of information or opinions about vaccines. From the article on the AAPS website: ⁴⁷

- In February and March 2019, Rep. Schiff contacted Google, Facebook, and Amazon, to encourage them to de-platform or discredit what Schiff asserted to be inaccurate information on vaccines. He then posted the letters and press release on the House.gov website.

- Within 24 hours of Schiff's letter to Amazon dated Mar 1, 2019, Amazon removed the popular videos *Vaxxed* and *Shoot 'Em Up: the Truth About Vaccines* from its platform for streaming videos, depriving members of the public of convenient access.

- Under a policy announced in May 2019, Twitter includes a pro-government disclaimer placed above search results for an AAPS article on vaccine mandates: "Know the Facts. To make sure you get the best information on vaccination, resources are available from the US Department of Health and Human Services." The implication of this disclaimer is that if information is not on a government website, then it is somehow less credible.

- Also in the AAPS article they explain how Facebook diverted searches from the intended sought after website to the officially sanctioned sources; effectively diverting interested internet explorers from a group critical of vaccines to organizations that are full on pro vaccine: ⁴⁸

- On Facebook, a search for an AAPS article on vaccines, which previously would lead directly to the AAPS article, now produces search results containing links to the World Health Organization (WHO), the National Institutes of Health, and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Visits to the AAPS website have declined significantly since March 2019, both in absolute terms and relative to the decline that would result from a story's losing its recency.

47 AAPS website - [Rep. Adam Schiff Sued by Physicians for Censoring Vaccine Debate](#)

48 AAPS website - [Rep. Adam Schiff Sued by Physicians for Censoring Vaccine Debate](#)

Facebook Announces its Censorship

- From a December 3rd article on the CBS website, as the Covid vaccine is about to be rolled out, Facebook will make sure you only hear what the authorities want you to hear: ⁴⁹
 - Facebook announced it will begin to remove misinformation about coronavirus vaccines as the U.S. and the rest of the Western world gets ready for their massive rollout.
 - In a blog post published on Thursday, the social media giant said it will get rid of false claims about vaccines that have been debunked by public health experts on Facebook and Instagram. According to Facebook, it is applying the policy to remove misinformation about the virus that could lead to "imminent physical harm."
 - The decision is a reversal from company CEO Mark Zuckerberg's previous stance. Earlier this year, Zuckerberg said social media companies should not be the "arbiters of truth." In the months that followed, Facebook unveiled steps to warn users and limiting misinformation.

Operation Warp Speed

Operation Warp Speed is essentially a military operation. What could go wrong with putting the military in charge of our health?

- On May 15th Trump announced Operation Warp Speed, putting Big Pharma and the U.S. military in charge of the biggest medical program in history. From the U.S. Department of Defense website: ⁵⁰
 - On Friday, the Trump Administration announced the appointment of Moncef Slaoui as chief advisor and General Gustave F. Perna as chief operating officer of Operation Warp Speed.
 - Dr. Slaoui is a venture capitalist and, formerly, Chairman of Global Research and Development and Chairman of Global Vaccines at GlaxoSmithKline, where he led the development of five major novel vaccines.
 - As the four-star general in charge of the U.S. Army Materiel Command, General Perna oversees the global supply chain and installation and materiel readiness for the U.S. Army, including more than 190,000 military, civilian, and contract employees.

49 CBS website - [Facebook will remove misinformation about COVID-19 vaccines](#)

50 U.S. Department of Defense website - [Trump Administration Announces Framework and Leadership for 'Operation Warp Speed'](#)

- "In addition to deploying 62,000 military service members in direct support of fighting Covid-19 on frontlines across the globe, the Department of Defense is racing towards a vaccine," said Defense Secretary Mark T. Esper. "Through our research and development labs such as DARPA and the Defense Health Agency, and our massive logistical knowledge and capacity, we are committed to achieving the goal of Operation Warp Speed for the American people."

- The Pentagon is acting as an intermediary contracting vaccine makers secretly. From an article on the NPR website: ⁵¹

- Instead of entering into contracts directly with vaccine makers, more than \$6 billion in Operation Warp Speed funding has been routed through a defense contract management firm called Advanced Technologies International, Inc. ATI then awarded contracts to companies working on Covid-19 vaccines.

- As a result, the contracts between the pharmaceutical companies and ATI may not be available through public records requests, and additional documents are exempt from public disclosure for five years.

- Operation Warp Speed will also track everyone who takes the Covid vaccine. From Whitney Webb at her Unlimited Hangout website: ⁵²

- During an interview with the Wall Street Journal published last Friday, the "captain" of Operation Warp Speed, career Big Pharma executive Moncef Slaoui, confirmed that the millions of Americans who are set to receive the project's Covid-19 vaccine will be monitored via "incredibly precise . . . tracking systems" that will "ensure that patients each get two doses of the same vaccine and to monitor them for adverse health effects." Slaoui also noted that tech giants Google and Oracle have been contracted as part of this "tracking system" but did not specify their exact roles beyond helping to "collect and track vaccine data."

- The HHS elaborates on the high tech surveillance that Operation Warp Speed will be using, giving it a truly Orwellian name, "pharmacovigilance". From an HHS PDF titled "From the Factory to the Frontlines - The Operation Warp Speed Strategy for Distributing a Covid-19 Vaccine": ⁵³

51 NPR website - [How Operation Warp Speed's Big Vaccine Contracts Could Stay Secret](#)

52 Unlimited Hangout website - [Google & Oracle To Monitor Americans Who Get Warp Speed's Covid-19 Vaccine For Up To Two Years](#)

53 HHS PDF - [From the Factory to the Frontlines - The Operation Warp Speed Strategy for Distributing a COVID-19 Vaccine](#)

- Before a vaccine is authorized for use, evidence of its safety and efficacy is limited to the results from clinical trials, where patients are selected carefully and followed up very closely under controlled conditions. Because some technologies have limited previous data on safety in humans, the long-term safety of these vaccines will be carefully assessed using pharmacovigilance surveillance and Phase 4 (post-licensure) clinical trials.

- The key objective of pharmacovigilance is to determine each vaccine's performance in real-life scenarios, to study efficacy, and to discover any infrequent and rare side effects not identified in clinical trials. OWS will also use pharmacovigilance analytics, which serves as one of the instruments for the continuous monitoring of pharmacovigilance data. Robust analytical tools will be used to leverage large amounts of data and the benefits of using such data across the value chain, including regulatory obligations.

- Palantir Technologies will play a major role in Operation Warp Speed, moving the process even further away from our health authorities: ⁵⁴

- Palantir Technologies, the company founded by Alex Karp, Peter Thiel, and a handful of their associates, has courted controversy for its supporting role in the US military occupation of Iraq and Afghanistan as well as its participation in the detention of “illegal” immigrants through their contracts with the Department of Homeland Security and in “predictive policing” law enforcement programs that disproportionately affect minority neighborhoods.

- The same national-security state that Palantir has long aided in oppressing countries abroad and minorities domestically is now running Operation Warp Speed. While Palantir's selection to manage the allocation of the vaccine to “priority groups” may just seem like the national-security state wanting to award the contract to a familiar and trusted company, the allocation strategy's heavy focus on vaccinating minorities first, with questionable justification for doing so, suggests something else may have been behind Palantir's selection to play a prominent role in Warp Speed.

The Rushed Covid Vaccine, Mandatory Vaccines

The development and testing of the Covid vaccines are being incredibly rushed, being done in a fraction of the time usually taken to get a vaccine approved. The makers of vaccines, especially the Covid vaccine, have no incentive to be sure their vaccines are safe; they are indemnified.

54 Unlimited Hangout website - [Palantir's Tiberius, Race, and the Public Health Panopticon](#)

- It is commonly accepted that it usually takes 10-15, sometimes more, years to develop a vaccine. From an article called “Vaccine Development, Testing, and Regulation” on the History of Vaccines website (The College of Physicians of Philadelphia): ⁵⁵
 - Vaccine development is a long, complex process, often lasting 10-15 years and involving a combination of public and private involvement.
- From an article on the RT website, concerning the Covid vaccines specifically: ⁵⁶
 - Vaccine makers are completely protected, or indemnified, against lawsuits from people who are injected with their vaccine and experience negative effects, regardless of how severe or long-lasting they are.
 - Who pays? Because there is a virtual guarantee that in a major vaccination programme some people will be harmed or even killed by the vaccine, some countries have set up special public funds to pay compensation to those affected.
- Not only are the companies who are producing the vaccines that will be distributed indemnified against lawsuits, taxpayers will also pay for the development of the vaccinations that won't be used. From the American Institute for Economic Research website: ⁵⁷
 - Government will shield pharmaceutical companies from liability for damages that their vaccines may inflict. Taxpayers will reimburse companies for development costs for vaccines that don't make it to market.
- The vaccine makers know of the risk, especially because the Covid vaccine is so rushed. From an article about AstraZeneca on the Reuters website: ⁵⁸
 - “This is a unique situation where we as a company simply cannot take the risk if in ... four years the vaccine is showing side effects,” Ruud Dobber, a member of Astra's senior executive team, told Reuters.
 - EU officials told Reuters this week product liability was among contentious points in European efforts to secure supply deals for potential Covid-19 vaccines from Pfizer, Sanofi and Johnson & Johnson.
 - The United States, however, already has a law to exclude tort claims from products that help control a public-health crises in the form of the 2005 Public Readiness and Emergency Preparedness, or PREP Act.
- The United Kingdom is expecting a flood of complications from the rushed Covid vaccine, too much to handle with their current technology. They are advertising on

55 History of Vaccines website - [Vaccine Development, Testing, and Regulation](#)

56 RT website - [Bubble indemnity: Big Pharma firms will NOT be held accountable for side effects of Covid vaccine](#)

57 American Institute for Economic Research website - [Why “Operation Warp Speed” Could Be Deadly](#)

58 Reuters website - [AstraZeneca to be exempt from coronavirus vaccine liability claims in most countries](#)

the EU journal website for AI (artificial intelligence) that can handle it. From the Supplement to the Official Journal of the EU: ⁵⁹

- For reasons of extreme urgency under Regulation 32(2)(c) related to the release of a Covid-19 vaccine MHRA (Medicines & Healthcare products Regulatory Agency) have accelerated the sourcing and implementation of a vaccine specific AI tool.

- Strictly necessary - it is not possible to retrofit the MHRA's legacy systems to handle the volume of ADRs [adverse drug reactions] that will be generated by a Covid-19 vaccine. Therefore, if the MHRA does not implement the AI tool, it will be unable to process these ADRs effectively. This will hinder its ability to rapidly identify any potential safety issues with the Covid-19 vaccine and represents a direct threat to patient life and public health.

- Events unforeseeable - the Covid-19 crisis is novel and developments in the search of a Covid-19 vaccine have not followed any predictable pattern so far.

Mandatory Vaccines?

The idea of making Covid vaccines mandatory has been floated almost since the earliest days of the Covid phenomenon. The idea is not new. There is already requirements to be vaccinated to attend public schools; all 50 states require at least 4 vaccinations to [attend kindergarten](#). But the idea of a forced medical intervention on whole populations is new.

Of course there's the argument that everyone needs to get vaccinated for the public good, but that argument makes no sense. If the vaccine works, then everyone who wants the vaccine can get it and be protected; only the people who don't get it will be vulnerable. Simple.

The Nuremberg Code

The Nuremberg Code is a set of research ethics principles for human experimentation created as a result of the Nuremberg trials at the end of the Second World War.

Vaccines can never be 100% safe. And the Covid vaccines, more than any others, can and should be characterized as experimental, having been so rushed and so minimally tested with absolutely no idea what the consequences might be even several months into the future, let alone years.

59 Ted - tenders electronic daily website, Supplement to the Official Journal of the EU - [Contract award notice. Results of the procurement procedure. Supplies.](#)

- From the Nuremberg Code: ⁶⁰

- The voluntary consent of the human subject is absolutely essential.
- No experiment should be conducted where there is an *a priori* reason to believe that death or disabling injury will occur; except, perhaps, in those experiments where the experimental physicians also serve as subjects.

Final Thoughts

The Vaccine industry is sleazy. They have no integrity. Vaccines may or may not be very valuable and people maybe should get vaccinated sometimes. But the hype is advertising. Big Pharma knows that governments will pay taxpayers money and cover the losses if something goes wrong. It's a win-win for them. Big Pharma supports mainstream media heavily so don't expect to find the truth there. Check things out for yourself.

Relevant Links

Articles:

[Gates' Globalist Vaccine Agenda: A Win-Win for Pharma and Mandatory Vaccination](#)

[CDC and WHO Corrupt Financial Entanglements with the Vaccine Industry](#)

[Examining RFK Jr.'s claim that the CDC Owns over 20 vaccine patents](#)

[Coronavirus Pt2 – The Dangerous 2005 PREP Act](#)

[The Johns Hopkins, CDC Plan to Mask Medical Experimentation on Minorities as “Racial Justice”](#)

Videos:

[Bill Gates' Plan to Vaccinate the World](#)

[The karma of big pharma](#)

[Dr. Tenpenny: This is The Biggest Scam Ever Perpetrated on The Human Race...](#)

[New Proposed Law To Jail 'Anti-Vaxxers' & The CDC Fear Based Talking Points To Media Revealed!](#)

[Is Moderna and NIH Partnership "Rotten To The Core"?](#)

[The Covid Vaccine Is Here... And So Are Potential Side Effects...](#)

[Vaccinated Vs. Unvaccinated: The Study The CDC Refused To Do — Interview with Dr. Weiler](#)

Studies:

[Vaccinated vs. Unvaccinated ... Who is Healthier?](#)

[Analysis of health outcomes in vaccinated and unvaccinated children: Developmental delays, asthma, ear infections and gastrointestinal disorders](#)

CDC Publication:

[COVID-19 Vaccination Program Interim Playbook for Jurisdiction Operations](#)

Accessing Dead or Disappeared Links

If while reading this paper, the reader chooses to follow some of the many links to articles or studies or data, and to go deeper following links on those pages, be aware that lots of web pages related to Covid or the "vaccines", and often older pages will be dead links. A good tool to access many of those pages is the [Internet Archive Wayback Machine](#). Enter the URL that didn't work, pick a year, find a highlighted date and click on a "snapshot". If you use Firefox, there is a [Wayback Machine Add-on](#) that makes it really easy when a dead page appears. Note that pages on the internet archive website may open slower.