

# *Human Caused Climate Change? A Skeptical Look at the Narrative*

## The Grift

(tenth PDF of 12)

Roger Golden Brown

Published June 21, 2023

Find all PDFs in this package & other Climate Related material on [their website page](#).

Other PDFs on various subjects, exposing the machinations behind the mainstream narrative about our world, can be found [here on my website](#).

Should anyone feel like supporting my continuing this work, a donation button is to be found [on my website](#) (left sidebar and on a page shown in the menu). Thank you.

Note: Please read the first PDF, *Introduction to Human Caused Climate Change? A Skeptical Look at the Narrative* first, where the intent and scope of this project are explained.

Note: Text that is indented both from the right and left (like this paragraph) is quoted from the noted source.

### Sections

[Origins of the Narrative](#)

[The Narrative as a Con](#)

[Big Oil and Global Warming Narrative - On the Same Team](#)

[The Control Matrix - Global Governance](#)

## Origins of the Narrative

Environmentalism, caring about the Earth, is a good thing. But are the things we care about based on our experience or on something we are told about? The technocrats, the global elite, using the media, play an active role in shaping what it is that concerns people. Their path to global governance is facilitated by creating narratives around issues so that the populace feels fear and worry and believes that their solutions offered are necessary.

## The Club of Rome

According to [Wikipedia](#), the Club of Rome was founded in 1968 by Aurelio Peccei, Alexander King, and David Rockefeller:

The Club of Rome is a nonprofit, informal organization of intellectuals and business leaders whose goal is a critical discussion of pressing global issues. It consists of one hundred full members selected from current and former heads of state and government, UN administrators, high-level politicians and government officials, diplomats, scientists, economists, and business leaders from around the globe.

The Club of Rome was founded in April 1968 by Aurelio Peccei, an Italian industrialist, and Alexander King, Director-General for Scientific Affairs at the OECD [Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development].

From the Club of Rome's website, the [History page](#), they describe themselves:

In short, it is an established, respected, international think-tank positioned to face the core challenges of the 21st Century.

While they posture themselves as wanting to help the world overcome obstacles and achieve a beautiful functional world for everyone, they are a product of the wealthy elite and a technocratic think tank. The Club of Rome in 1991 published the book, [The First Global Revolution - A Report by the Council of The Club of Rome](#). The book is prefaced with a quote from Omar Khayyam:

“Ah love! Could thou and I with fate conspire, to grasp this sorry scheme of things entire, would not we shatter it to bits and then, remould it nearer to the heart’s desire.”

The fifth chapter, titled The Vacuum, begins with this paragraph:

Order in society is determined by the cohesion of its members. Until the middle of our century, this was normally ensured by a natural patriotism, a sense of belonging to the community, reinforced by a moral discipline exerted by religion and respect for the state and its leaders, however remote they might be from the people. Meanwhile, generalized religious faith has evaporated in many countries; respect for the political process has also faded, owing partly to the media, leading to indifference if not hostility, and partly to the inadequacy of the political parties in facing real problems; minorities are less and less willing to respect the decisions of the majority. Thus a vacuum has been created, in which both order and objectives in society are being corroded.

The chapter concludes (page 115) with the last section and its one paragraph, where they clearly state their intent to socially engineer society to their advantage and suggest that the threat of global warming would offer something to unite the people. The last section, titled, The Common Enemy of Humanity Is Man:

In searching for a common enemy against whom we can unite, we came up with the idea that pollution, the threat of global warming, water shortages, famine and the like, would fit the bill. In their totality and their interactions these phenomena do constitute a common threat which must be confronted by everyone together. But in designating these dangers as the enemy, we fall into the trap, which we have already warned readers about, namely mistaking symptoms for causes. All these dangers are caused by human intervention in natural processes, and it is only through changed attitudes and behaviour that they can be overcome. The real enemy then is humanity itself.

### Maurice Strong

Maurice Strong is another major player in developing the narrative. He was a Canadian businessman who was influential in many aspects of the movement towards global governance, using environmental concerns as impetus.

This from a June 2, 2022 article on the Toronto Star website, [Maurice Strong helped rally the world on environmentalism in 1972. Fifty years later, we're still trying to act](#), gives only the briefest summary of his influence:

The global environmental movement took on its formal shape in three acts. The Stockholm Conference in 1972, the creation of the UN Environment Programme (UNEP) immediately after, and the Rio Conference in 1992. All three were organized and run by Maurice Strong.

For a more comprehensive look at Maurice Strong's history see the February 1, 2016 article by James Corbett, [Meet Maurice Strong: Globalist, Oligarch, "Environmentalist"](#). In the article he refers to a passage from Elaine Dewar's book, Cloak of Green:

While this quasi-religious quest for global government is always wrapped in feel-good language about strengthening communities and preserving the planet, the underlying reality is about a much more Machiavellian agenda. As Dewar notes of the Rio Summit in "Cloak of Green":

"Advertised as the World's Greatest Summit, Rio was publicly described as a global negotiation to reconcile the need for environmental protection with the need for economic growth. The cognoscenti understood that there

were other, deeper goals. These involved the shift of national regulatory powers to vast regional authorities; the opening of all remaining closed national economies to multinational interests; the strengthening of decision making structures far above and far below the grasp of newly minted national democracies; and, above all, the integration of the Soviet and Chinese empires into the global market system. There was no name for this very grand agenda that I had heard anyone use, so later I named it myself—the Global Governance Agenda."

Listen also to the James Corbett interview of Elaine Dewar, [Elaine Dewar on Maurice Strong's Cloak of Green](#).

### Sustainable Development Goals - SDGs

As mentioned above, the Rio Conference in 1992 was organized and run by Maurice Strong. That conference gave birth to the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals. These 17 goals are part of the narrative that the world has many issues that we need to address and the message is to get with the program to accomplish them.

From the United Nations website page, [Sustainable Development Goals](#):

#### 17 Goals to Transform Our World

The Sustainable Development Goals are a call for action by all countries – poor, rich and middle-income – to promote prosperity while protecting the planet. They recognize that ending poverty must go hand-in-hand with strategies that build economic growth and address a range of social needs including education, health, social protection, and job opportunities, while tackling climate change and environmental protection. More important than ever, the goals provide a critical framework for COVID-19 recovery.

### Agenda 21, Agenda 2030

Related to the Sustainable Development Goals, also birthed at the 1992 Rio Conference was Agenda 21, an agenda for the 21st century, which morphed into Agenda 2030, with 2030 being the date by which they hope to accomplish their agenda.

See the United Nations' PDF about Agenda 21: [United Nations Conference on Environment & Development Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, June 3 to 14, 1992 - Agenda 21](#).

The Sustainable Development Goals and Agenda 21, in a nutshell, promote communitarianism, the idea that the needs of the amorphous community need to be balanced against the rights of the individual.

Caring about the community and the Earth sounds like a good thing, and it is, but the plan is for a top down control grid; a technocratic structure to accomplish the goal, with

individual rights compromised. See a good 16 minute video on that subject here: [Rosa Koire. UN Agenda 2030 Exposed](#)

More from the UN's Sustainable Development Goals pages, this time from the page, [SDG Media Compact](#), about their intent to leverage the media to spread the message:

In September 2018, the United Nations launched the SDG Media Compact, an initiative marking a new drive to advance awareness of the Sustainable Development Goals, (SDGs). The SDG Media Compact began with 31 founding media and entertainment companies and has grown into a powerful alliance of over 200 members around the world, spanning 160 countries on five continents, with a combined audience of about 2 billion people worldwide.

For more about their comprehensive messaging campaign, see the Sustainable Development Goals [Partnership page](#), where they talk about their partnerships with media, advertising firms ("bringing together six of the world's largest communications companies"), and their efforts at getting the message to children.

### Goal 13 - Climate Action

And here we see the narrative used to pull at communitarian heartstrings. The first paragraph of their page for goal [13, Climate Action](#), attempts to impress upon the reader that the poor will suffer horrors from the nightmare of climate change if we don't get with the program:

The global temperature has already risen 1.1°C above the pre-industrial level, with glaciers melting and the sea level rising. Impacts of climate change also includes flooding and drought, displacing millions of people, sinking them into poverty and hunger, denying them access to basic services, such as health and education, expanding inequalities, stifling economic growth and even causing conflict. By 2030, an estimated 700 million people will be at risk of displacement by drought alone.

Taking urgent action to combat climate change and its devastating impacts is therefore an imperative to save lives and livelihood, and key to making the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development and its 17 Goals – the blueprint for a better future – a reality.

Please see PDF 9 of this project, *Climate Change's Technocratic Machinations*, to see how the United Nations efforts to accomplish its goals is done in partnership with corporations, who are in no way in it for the community.

## Mikhail Gorbachev and the Earth Charter

Mikhail Gorbachev and Maurice Strong wrote the Earth Charter, which in their own words is intended to be a “new charter” to set “new norms” to guide the transition to sustainable development. From the Earth Charter website [History](#) page:

In 1987 The World Commission on Environment and Development (known as “the Brundtland Commission”) launched Our Common Future Report with a call for a “new charter” to set “new norms” to guide the transition to sustainable development.

Following that, discussion about an Earth Charter took place in the process leading to the Earth Summit in Rio de Janeiro in 1992, but the time for such a declaration was not right. The Rio Declaration became the statement of the achievable consensus at that time.

In 1994, Maurice Strong (Secretary-General of the Rio Earth Summit) and Mikhail Gorbachev, working through organizations they each founded (Earth Council and Green Cross International respectively), launched an initiative (with the support from the Dutch Government) to develop an Earth Charter as a civil society initiative. The initial drafting and consultation process drew on hundreds of international documents.

An independent Earth Charter Commission was formed in 1997 to oversee the development of the text, analyze the outcomes of a world-wide consultation process and to come to an agreement on a global consensus document.

And from their [Strategic Objectives](#):

Ensure that the Earth Charter serves as an instrument and a guide in efforts to tackle urgent challenges such as climate change, biodiversity loss, Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and conflict resolution.

Around the time that the Earth Charter was being worked on, Mikhail Gorbachev made clear the roots of the narrative and the gift, saying, in agreement with the Club of Rome, according to many sources, and as quoted in [AZ Quotes](#):

The threat of environmental crisis will be the international disaster key to unlock the New World Order.

## The Narrative as a Con

### Money Buys the Narrative

The mainstream narrative about climate doom seems compelling, especially because it is so pervasive. It appears that the people putting out the message have finally gotten with the science and are concerned.

The May 22, 2023 article, [Study: AP Pushes Woke Terms Across 64 Climate Stories After \\$8M Grant from Leftist Orgs](#), explains why we get the alarmist news (see the article for the many links to their sources):

The Associated Press has been running wild with leftist climate change propaganda while being paid millions by eco-extremist organizations. And yet AP still has the audacity to pretend it's engaging in objective reporting.

MRC Business analysts found that from Feb. 15, 2022 through Feb. 15, 2023, The Associated Press (AP) pushed climate change alarmism and promoted woke environmental, social, governance (ESG) efforts across 64 climate-related stories after the legacy outlet received an \$8 million grant from leftist nonprofit organizations like the Rockefeller Foundation, Quadrivium (the activist organization of News Corp. Executive Chairman Rupert Murdoch's estranged son and climate activist James Murdoch), the William and Flora Hewlett Foundation, the Walton Family Foundation (Walmart) and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute.

AP announced Feb. 15, 2022, that it would "significantly expand its climate coverage" with the goal to "infuse" the media landscape with climate journalism backed solely by private interest groups. AP called the new development a "sweeping climate journalism initiative" and claimed in its press release that it would retain "complete editorial control of all content." AP also claims on its "About Us" page that it is in the business of "unbiased news," which is little more than a pathetic joke. The so-called "journalism" AP has been doing on climate involves behaving like the de facto mouthpiece for its major left-wing donors who have an obsession with pushing apocalyptic climate narratives on the internet.

### The Climate Grifting Cons

This March 22, 2023 article, [Legalized Climate Grifting](#), by Paul Driessen on the Heartland Institute's website looks at the climate grift:

However, they were all pikers compared to the billion-dollar stratagems being carried off by Climate Armageddon grifters like Bill Gates, Al Gore, Elon Musk and Biden Climate Envoy John Kerry.



Their [long cons](#) are not only unprecedented in size and complexity. They represent the [greatest wealth transfer](#) in history, from poor and middle class families to the wealthiest on Earth. Most important, [the plundering has been legalized](#) by laws, regulations, treaties and executive orders, often implemented at the behest of the [schemers and their lobbyists](#).

They and their politician, activist, scientist, corporate and media allies profit mightily, but legally, if not unethically, from foundation grants, government payouts and subsidies, and [taxpayer and consumer payments](#) based on claims that Earth faces manmade climate cataclysms. That most of us are willingly giving money to mandated “renewable energy” schemes and [other corrupt practices](#) is questionable.

### Carbon Monoxide is the Trending Fear - Avoiding A Climate Lockdown

A July 7, 2021 article by James Corbett, [The Scamdemic Was So Last Year . . . Here's What's Coming Next](#), is a warning to stay on your toes because the people who brought us the world-wide lockdown are not resting on their laurels; they are alive and well working on their next fraud; rather re-energizing an ongoing one:

There is another hobgoblin that will soon eclipse the deadly Covid monster in the imagination of the populace. One that’s been around for decades, waiting for its chance to terrify the public into a Great Reset as we plunge into the New World Order. And that monster is . . .

. . . carbon dioxide.

Corbett continues drawing comparisons to the elite using fear to manifest the lockdown for Covid and the climate narrative being used similarly:

The connection was made explicit in one influential article from last year: “[Avoiding A Climate Lockdown](#)” by Mariana Mazzucato. In the article, Mazzucato argues that the pandemic was actually a consequence of “environmental degradation”—presumably because the bat soup theory of SARS-COV-2’s origins were still fashionable at the time—and that the same types of controls that were instituted to deal with the one invisible nemesis will be good for dealing with the other. Specifically:

*Under a “climate lockdown,” governments would limit private-vehicle use, ban consumption of red meat, and impose extreme energy-saving measures, while fossil-fuel companies would have to stop drilling. To avoid such a scenario, we must overhaul our economic structures and do capitalism differently.*

If only those were the ravings of some deluded Greta wannabe in an obscure environmental publication with no relation to power. Unfortunately,



these ravings were published by Project Syndicate, which just happens to be funded by (prepare yourself) George Soros' Open Society Foundations, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and the Google News Initiative among others.

### Covid and Carbon Monoxide Team Up as a Powerful Con

With Covid fading a bit into the back of our minds, the narrative is kept alive as we are told that more pandemics are imminent. And it adds fuel to the climate change narrative because, we are told, climate change is making pandemics worse or more likely.

From the Rockefeller Foundation website, the May 23, 2023 article, [The Rockefeller Foundation and World Health Organization Announce Partnership To Expand Global Pandemic Preparedness in Era of Climate Change](#), announces the partnership of the World Health Organization with the Rockefeller Foundation:

The Rockefeller Foundation and the World Health Organization (WHO) have announced a new partnership to strengthen the [WHO Hub for Pandemic and Epidemic Intelligence](#). As part of the collaboration, the Foundation is investing US\$ 5M in partners working with WHO to cultivate global networks for pathogen detection and strengthen pandemic preparedness capabilities, including broadening surveillance for diseases worsened by rising temperatures and extreme weather.

“Climate change is increasing both the risk of another global pandemic and the need to collaborate and share data,” said Dr. Rajiv Shah, President of The Rockefeller Foundation. “Fortunately, the WHO Pandemic Hub is already making us smarter and safer by helping track threats, find solutions, and connect countries and continents. We’re proud to partner with the Hub to expand its focus on preventing pandemics fueled by climate change.”

### Big Oil and Global Warming Narrative - On the Same Team

The Grift is all about control. Money and control. Technocrats are not idealists who want to save the Earth, but if pretending that gives them the control and money they desire, that's how they'll project their image. It's all advertising.

One of the grifts is that evil Big Oil companies are the bad corporations, in contrast to corporations and Big Business that are part of the new model of environmentally conscious groups who have integrity.

## Exxon Knew

Several years ago there was a movement to make Exxon pay for the recently revealed (ostensibly) fact that they had long since known about the hydrocarbons-CO2 connection and knew they were destroying the planet and hid their findings from the public so they could continue raking in the profits from oil.



This positions Big Oil as an enemy and helps consolidate the green movement and the global warming inspired crowd. But, the truth is Exxon did know but they did not hide their findings and furthermore they are themselves funding the climate change narrative.

Regarding the Exxon Knew narrative, the following is an excerpt (complete with most of his links) from the September 26, 2016 article by James Corbett, [Who Wants To Be A Carbon Trillionaire?](#):

A perfect case in point is the "exposé" that was [published in the LA Times](#) and [InsideClimate News](#) last year alleging that Exxon had the entire global warming puzzle solved as far back as the 1970s...and then actively worked to cover up that information. Never mind that they [didn't actually withhold any of their research or findings](#) from the public, and never mind their conclusion (namely, that the massive uncertainty surrounding climate variables meant that they had no clear picture of what is actually happening in the climate) was neither well-informed nor cause for panic; the narrative was already set.

A massive "#ExxonKnew" campaign was organized around the reports and New York Attorney General Eric Schneiderman's claim that he would organize a posse of state AGs to investigate and prosecute Exxon for...something. Unsurprisingly, that campaign has disintegrated, not least because ["investigators simply don't know what a climate model is."](#)

But here's the kicker: The original report was published by the *LA Times* and *InsideClimate News*, but it wasn't reported by them. It was reported by fellows at the Energy and Environmental Reporting Project at Columbia University's Graduate School of Journalism, which just happens to [receive its funding from](#)...(drum roll please)...*"the Energy Foundation, Open Society Foundations, Rockefeller Brothers Fund, Rockefeller Family Fund, Lorana Sullivan Foundation and the Tellus Mater Foundation."*

Oh, and *InsideClimate News* (ICN)? The director of the Rockefeller Family Fund [admitted earlier this year](#) that the Rockefellers are pumping millions of dollars into organizations like ICN to promote their climate agenda. Of course, David Sassoon, ICN's publisher, was a former

Rockefeller Brothers Fund employee, and Michael Northrup, an ICN Board Member, directs the Sustainable Development grantmaking program at RBF. As the *New York Times* admitted as far back as 2013, ICN is "an outgrowth of Mr. Sassoon's consulting work for the Rockefeller Brothers Fund, a philanthropic group that emphasizes climate policy."

For more on this see the December, 2019 James Corbett video: [What Did #ExxonKnew and When Did They Knew It? - Question For Corbett](#).

And I highly recommend watching [How & Why Big Oil Conquered The World](#), a 2017 two part documentary by James Corbett to understand better that it's all part of the gift; the ultimate goal being not just money from oil but the technocratic control of humanity.

### U.S. Climate Action Partnership

The page on Wikipedia, [U.S. Climate Action Partnership](#), shows how big corporations are all-in for "climate action". I guess now for them the planet comes first. Who knew?

From the article:

The U.S. Climate Action Partnership (USCAP), formed in 2006 and launched publicly on January 22, 2007, is a co-operative group of businesses and leading environmental organizations, with the primary purpose to call on the U.S. government to require significant reductions in greenhouse gas emissions.

This initiative was founded by the Pew Center on Global Climate Change, General Electric, Environmental Defense Fund, and World Resources Institute, alongside other businesses and environmental groups. USCAP's "A Call For Action" blueprint outlines specific emission reduction targets for total U.S. emissions and capped sectors. Some of the founding members include Alcoa, BP America, Caterpillar Inc, Duke Energy, DuPont, and General Electric among others. Over the years, some members, such as BP America, Caterpillar Inc., ConocoPhillips, and Deere & Co. have left the organization. Controversy has surrounded USCAP due to some of its members sponsoring both the partnership and lobbying groups opposing its goals.

### Warmist Organizations, Scientists Haul In Huge Money From Big Oil

This next article is very revealing. It is by Jimbo, published by P Gosselin on February 9, 2015 on the NoTricksZone website, [Long List Of Warmist Organizations, Scientists Haul In Huge Money From Big Oil And Heavy Industry!](#) - a list of 25 examples where climate alarmism organizations and scientists were more than happy to take in big money from Big Oil and industry. Here is just a sampling, with their links to the sources:

## [History of the Climatic Research Unit](#)

From the late 1970s through to the collapse of oil prices in the late 1980s, CRU received a series of contracts from BP to provide data and advice concerning their exploration operations in the Arctic marginal seas. Working closely with BP's Cold Regions Group, CRU staff developed a set of detailed sea-ice atlases, ...

This list is not fully exhaustive, but we would like to acknowledge the support of the following funders (in alphabetical order): British Petroleum... Greenpeace International... Reinsurance Underwriters and Syndicates... Sultanate of Oman... Shell.....

## [Climate Institute](#)

The Climate Institute has been in a unique position to inform key decision-makers, heighten international awareness of climate change, and identify practical ways of achieving significant emissions reductions...

Donors: American Gas Foundation, BP, NASA, PG&E Corporation, Rockefeller Brothers Fund, Shell Foundation, The Rockefeller Foundation

## [Green Energy Futures](#)

Green Energy Futures is a multi-media storytelling project that is documenting the clean energy revolution that's already underway. It tells the stories of green energy pioneers who are moving forward in their homes, businesses and communities.

Gold Sponsor: Shell

## [350.org caught up in fossil fuel 'divestment' hypocrisy](#)

[Rockefellers Brothers Fund] RBF has given 350.org \$800,000 in recent years and almost \$2 million to the 1Sky Education Fund, now part of 350.org, according to foundation records."

More on the relationship between the Rockefellers and 350.org. In a video interview, [Bill McKibben, Founder of 350.org on Climate Challenge, Host Karyn Strickler](#), Bill McKibben admits taking money from the Rockefellers and has nice things to say about them. See a [1 minute clip here](#) with this excerpt from the interview:

*Climate Challenge:* So you don't get money from Pew or Rockefeller or any of those big foundations?

*McKibben:* No we did. Rockefeller Brothers fund gave us some money right when we were starting out... that's been useful too.

*Climate Challenge:* But they no longer fund you?

*McKibben:* Uhh I don't know. I don't have that sort of... funders sitting in front of me.

*Climate Challenge: Really?* That's usually something that people know.  
*McKibben:* Rockefeller's been one of our, it's one of the... is a great ally in this fight.

Yay! Rockefeller Bros. are Happy Environmentalists

An April 21, 2011 article on the Rockefeller Brothers Fund website, [1Sky and 350.org: Stronger as One](#), rejoices in their relationship to 1Sky and 350.org:

This month marked the exciting marriage of 1Sky and 350.org—two grantees of the Rockefeller Brothers Fund's Sustainable Development program. The announcement comes as environmental policy is hitting a new low in Washington.

Despite the news coming from Washington, the announcement to merge 1Sky and 350.org—two of the biggest movements on climate—into a single organization under the banner of 350.org, gives me hope that we just might build a people-powered movement strong enough to protect this planet.

Several more sources about the Rockefellers funding green energy:

[Rockefellers' 1Sky Unveils the New 350.org | More \\$ – More Delusion](#) - Cory Morningstar

[Rockefeller Brothers Fund's Grants to 350.org](#) - Rockefeller Brothers Fund website

[Rockefeller Brothers Fund Awards \\$1 Million to 1Sky](#) - Philanthropy News Digest

[Rockefellers Promised Access to Publisher of InsideClimate News, Emails Show](#) - Energy in Depth

## The Control Matrix - Global Governance

Just as Covid was presented as a threat requiring a global response that had to be virtually homogeneous (one size fits all) and the science was presented as absolute consensus with dissenting opinions demonized and censored, so it is with Climate Change.

It's the Hegelian dialectic: Problem - Reaction - Solution. Problem: global warming is dangerous and humans are causing it. Reaction: fear, anxiety, something must be done. Solution: the solution is invariably global technocratic control that comes with more surveillance, more monitoring of our lives, and dictates from on high as to what we can and cannot do.

## WHO, UN, Banks All Pushing Central Control

Note that the World Health Organization and the United Nations are pushing their [One Health](#) model, a standardized top down health system run by technocrats. From the WHO [One Health Key Facts](#) page:

Critical gaps in One Health implementation include:

- databases and resources to support information sharing and action in line with a One Health approach;
- a model for an integrated One Health surveillance system;
- mechanisms for routine and emergency coordination with relevant stakeholders;

And the banks are pushing Central Bank Digital Currency, presented as a slick and efficient way of making transactions, but is again a global, top down, fully surveilled, monitored system run by technocrats. Here Agustin Carstens, Manager of the Bank for International Settlements, says that now they don't know who is using money for what and explains the control that digital currency offers them:

The central bank will have absolute control on the rules and regulations that will determine the use of that expression of central bank liability and also we will have the technology to enforce that.

See a [46 second video clip here](#) that includes the above excerpt. This is from a one hour video, sponsored by the UN's International Monetary Fund, [Cross-Border Payment—A Vision for the Future](#).

For the antidote, see the PDF, [I Want to Stop CBDCs – What Can I Do?](#)

## Global Warming and Global Governance

From the March 12, 2007 article by Daniel Taylor, on the Old-Thinker News website, [Global warming hysteria serves as excuse for world government](#):

Proponents of a system of world government and the tyrannical measures that accompany it have seized upon the popular issue of global warming to advance long existing plans for global governance. World government has been the desire of power hungry organizations and the individuals running them for many years. The Bilderberg Group, Council on Foreign Relations, Trilateral Commission, and their think tanks like the Club of Rome are all such organizations. Council on Foreign Relations member James Paul Warburg, who was the son of Paul Moritz Warburg, a prominent banker, stated before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee in 1950 that, "We shall have world government whether or not you like it -- by conquest or consent."



## State Sovereignty Must be Altered in Globalized Era

In the article above, Daniel Taylor refers to a February 21, 2006 article by Richard Haass, president of the Council on Foreign Relations since 2003, [State sovereignty must be altered in globalized era](#), with the subtitle, *In the age of globalization, states should give up some sovereignty to world bodies in order to protect their own interests*. From the Haass article:

For 350 years, sovereignty -- the notion that states are the central actors on the world stage and that governments are essentially free to do what they want within their own territory but not within the territory of other states -- has provided the organizing principle of international relations. The time has come to rethink this notion.

As a result, new mechanisms are needed for regional and global governance that include actors other than states. This is not to argue that Microsoft, Amnesty International, or Goldman Sachs be given seats in the UN General Assembly, but it does mean including representatives of such organizations in regional and global deliberations when they have the capacity to affect whether and how regional and global challenges are met.

### "2009 is First Year of Global Governance"

Following are several articles about the European Union as model for global governance, giving an idea of the appeal to technocrats.

This November 4, 2009 article, [Lisbon Treaty Overcomes Final Hurdles In Its Way](#), explains the consolidation of more power for the EU and less sovereignty for the individual nations:

The treaty will create a new, full-time president of the EU and a foreign minister intended to boost the union's position in international affairs. Internal changes to the EU's Byzantine system of lawmaking mean individual countries will have a harder time blocking EU legislation.

Or this from the article by Pascal Lamy, Director General, World Trade Organization, November 9, 2009, [Global Governance: Learning From The European Model](#):

The fact that Community law takes precedence over national law. The creation of a supranational body such as the European Commission that has been given the monopoly of initiating legislation. A European Court of Justice whose decisions are binding on national judges.

These are just a few of the things that, taken together, make the European Union a radically new economic and political entity on the scene of international governance.

A page on the European Union @ United Nations website called, [Intervention of H.E. Mr. Herman Van Rompuy, New President of EU Council](#), has the text of the acceptance speech of H.E. Mr. Herman Van Rompuy following his appointment as President of the Council of the European Union, November 19, 2009.

An excerpt from his speech:

We are living through exceptionally difficult times: the financial crisis and its dramatic impact on employment and budgets, the climate crisis which threatens our very survival. A period of anxiety, uncertainty and lack of confidence. Yet these problems can be overcome by common efforts in and between our countries. 2009 is also the first year of global governance, with the establishment of the G20 in the middle of the financial crisis. The climate conference in Copenhagen is another step towards the global management of our planet. Our mission is one of hope, supported by acts and action.

Note that in this one paragraph, Van Rompuy, using climate as a central element, neatly encapsulates the Hegelian dialectic: Problem - Reaction - Solution.

Problem: the climate crisis which threatens our very survival.

Reaction: anxiety, uncertainty and lack of confidence.

Solution: global governance and the global management of our planet.