

The World Health Organization (WHO)

Roger Golden Brown

March 13, 2021 - Updated February 5, 2024

Find this PDF [here](#) and lots more Global Coup related material on my [Quasar website](#).
Check out my eBook, [The Covid / Lockdown Crisis - Alternative Information & Sources](#).

All bullet pointed excerpts and statements are noted with numbers corresponding to footnotes with linked sources at the bottom of the page. Indented entries preceded by a dash (-) are quoted from the noted source.

The reader is urged to use the footnote links to further explore the material and to get context to what is stated in this PDF and to make up their own mind as to what is really going on.

Preface

The World Health Organization (also called the WHO or just WHO) has been around for a long time. This paper will not delve into their distant past, all of what they may have accomplished or not. Instead it will focus on the WHO of today and the last few decades.

As an international body supported by most countries of the world, the question of where the WHO gets its authority is obvious, however we will still look at the connections and relationships with other individuals, corporations, and governments that contribute to the WHO's reach and influence.

In the last few decades the globalization of the world has brought many players together who work in concert. Tied with the United Nations and supported heavily by Bill Gates and having a close, friendly relationship with Big Pharma, the WHO is a powerful player in the global coup.

For more about the WHO see my PDF, "Lies and Deceptions by the CDC and the WHO".

Table of Contents

[A Brief History of the World Health Organization](#)

[Who Funds the WHO?](#)

[Some of the People in the WHO](#)

[The WHO's Partners in Crime](#)

[The WHO and the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic Scam](#)

[The WHO's China Connection](#)

[The WHO Engineers the Worldwide Lockdown and Praises China's Lockdown](#)

[The WHO Changes its View on Non-Pharmaceutical Public Health Measures](#)

[The WHO's "Pandemic Treaty" and IHR Amendment Proposals](#)

[Final Thoughts](#)

[Relevant Links](#)

A Brief History of the World Health Organization

The WHO, like the United Nations was formed shortly after World War 2. The WHO became the central health organization where there had been many. Consolidation has benefits but also has downsides, in that power gets concentrated.

- From the World Health Organization's Wikipedia page: ¹
 - The World Health Organization (WHO) is a specialized agency of the United Nations responsible for international public health. The WHO Constitution, which establishes the agency's governing structure and principles, states its main objective as "the attainment by all peoples of the highest possible level of health." It is headquartered in Geneva, Switzerland.
 - The WHO was established by constitution on April 7, 1948. The WHO incorporated the assets, personnel, and duties of the League of Nations' Health Organisation and the Office International d'Hygiène Publique, including the International Classification of Diseases (ICD).

Who Funds the WHO?

Finding information about the WHO's funding is not easy but here is a bit of information. First, the basics from Wikipedia, then some numbers from a WHO PDF outlining their funding.

- From Wikipedia's World Health Organization page: ¹
 - The WHO relies on contributions from member states (both assessed and voluntary) and private donors for funding. As of 2018, it has a budget of over \$4.2 billion, a large part of which comes from voluntary

¹ Wikipedia - [World Health Organization](#)

contributions from member states. In 2018–19, the US contributed 15.9% of the WHO's \$5.6 billion budget (additionally, American philanthropist Bill Gates provides 9.4% of the funding through his foundation), the EU and its member states contributed 11%, while China contributed 0.2%.

In the WHO's funding report, titled, "Voluntary contributions by fund and by contributor, 2017", they break down the contributions into two categories: contributions from the "Member States" and the contributions from other contributors. The numbers are in US dollars.

- Among the Member States, the United States contributed the most by far. The top three countries make up more than half of the \$1,040,549,547 contributed. From the report: ²
 - United States - \$401 million
 - United Kingdom - \$163 million
 - Germany - \$90 million
- The total contributions from private donors or external agencies was \$2,110,685,173. By far the largest non-member state funder of the WHO is Bill Gates. The third largest contribution is also tied to Gates, the GAVI Vaccine Alliance, which he helped start up: ²
 - Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation - \$324,654,317
 - World Bank - \$145,568,331
 - GAVI Vaccine Alliance - \$133,365,051
 - European Commission (EU) - \$81,956,035
- Not to be left out, Big Pharma is among the biggest contributors: ²
 - Sanofi Pasteur - \$9,411,491
 - GlaxoSmithKline - \$7,365,666
 - Hoffmann-LaRoche - \$6,628,090
 - Gilead Science - \$3,124,450
 - Sanofi-Aventis - \$2,634,963
 - Merck Sharp and Dohme Chibret - \$1,652,226
 - Bayer AG - \$1,158,060
 - Merck - \$510,000

Some of the People in the WHO

Tedros Adhanom

- Tedros Adhanom is the current Director-General of the WHO. He is the first person who is not a medical doctor to lead the WHO. He was formerly the Health Minister and Foreign Minister of Ethiopia. From Wikipedia: ³

- Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus is an Ethiopian biologist, public health researcher, and official who has served since 2017 as Director-General of the World Health Organization. He has held two high-level positions in the government of Ethiopia: Minister of Health from 2005 to 2012 and Minister of Foreign Affairs from 2012 to 2016.

- Tedros was elected as Director-General of the World Health Organization by the World Health Assembly on 23 May 2017, becoming the first director-general who is not a medical doctor, with an overwhelming 133 votes out of 185.

- As part of the Ethiopian government Tedros has a background with plenty of controversy. Five months after becoming head of WHO he appointed Robert Mugabe, a man of very questionable ethics, as WHO Goodwill Ambassador. From Wikipedia: ⁴

- On 21 October 2017, the Director-General Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus appointed the then Zimbabwean president Robert Mugabe as a WHO Goodwill Ambassador to help promote the fight against non-communicable diseases. The appointment address praised Mugabe for his commitment to public health in Zimbabwe. The appointment attracted widespread condemnation and criticism in WHO member states and international organizations due to Robert Mugabe's poor record on human rights and presiding over a decline in Zimbabwe's public health. Due to the outcry, the following day the appointment was revoked.

- There is lots more controversy regarding Tedros to be found if one should choose to dig into his background. This PDF will not go into that except one thing of note. A complaint has been filed with the International Criminal Court by a member of Ethiopia's democracy movement. From the Goodreads website: ^{5 6}

3 Wikipedia - [Tedros Adhanom](#)

4 Wikipedia - [World Health Organization](#)

5 Goodreads website - [David Steinman \(Goodreads Author\)](#)

6 Money, Blood and Conscience website - [WHO diplomatic immunity no shield for international crimes as Ethiopian foreign minister.](#)

- In Dec. 2020, David Steinman filed a complaint with the International Criminal Court against World Health Organization Director-General Tedros Adhanom for crimes against humanity committed as an Ethiopian official. News of the complaint was featured on the London Times' front page and went viral, exposing Tedros' criminality to the world.

- David Steinman was the senior foreign adviser to Ethiopia's democracy movement for 27 years until its 2018 victory. He co-planned Ethiopia's 2005 civil resistance and election campaign, voted that year's "Most Important African Event" in a BBC poll, which inspired [Steinman's 2018 book] Money, Blood and Conscience.

Michael Ryan

- Michael Ryan is the executive director of the WHO's Health Emergencies Programme. On March 30, 2020 he advocated going into families and removing "people who may be sick." From a video of a WHO media briefing: ^{7 8}

- In most parts of the world, due to lockdown, most of the transmission that's actually happening in many countries now is happening in the household, at family level. In some senses, transmission has been taken off the streets and pushed back into family units, now we need to go and look in families and find those people who may be sick and remove them, and isolate them, in a safe and dignified manner.

Scientific Advisory Group of Experts (SAGE)

SAGE is a group of 15 experts who advise the WHO regarding vaccines and immunization. A number of them have considerable ties to and/or take money from Big Pharma.

- From the WHO website: ⁹

- The Strategic Advisory Group of Experts (SAGE) on Immunization was established by the Director-General of the World Health Organization in 1999 to provide guidance on the work of WHO. SAGE is the principal advisory group to WHO for vaccines and immunization. It is charged with advising WHO on overall global policies and strategies, ranging from vaccines and technology, research and development, to delivery of immunization and its linkages with other health interventions. SAGE is

7 YouTube video - [WHO official: 'we may have to enter homes and remove family members'](#)

8 Wikipedia - [Michael J. Ryan](#)

9 WHO website - [Strategic Advisory Group of Experts on Immunization \(SAGE\) About us](#)

concerned not just with childhood vaccines and immunization, but all vaccine-preventable diseases.

- From an article titled, “Can We Trust the WHO?”, on the New Eastern Outlook website: (the 2nd footnote is to the WHO PDF, the 2020 “Declaration of Interests” for the SAGE group, from which the New Eastern Outlook got their information.)^{10 11}

- The current WHO Scientific Advisory Group of Experts (SAGE) is riddled with members who receive “financially significant” funds from either major vaccine makers, or the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation (BMGF) or Wellcome Trust. In the latest posting by WHO of the 15 scientific members of SAGE, no fewer than 8 had declared interest, by law, of potential conflicts. In almost every case the significant financial funder of these 8 SAGE members included the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation, Merck & Co. (MSD), Gavi, the Vaccine Alliance (a Gates-funded vaccine group), BMGF Global Health Scientific Advisory Committee, Pfizer, Novovax, GSK [GlaxoSmithKline], Novartis, Gilead, and other leading pharma vaccine players. So much for independent scientific objectivity at WHO.

The WHO’s Partners in Crime

The WHO has forged strong connections with other players involved in the Covid Madness. A later section will look specifically at China. This section covers some other connections.

Facebook

On September 4, the WHO issued a statement that they were partnering with Facebook and Instagram to deal with “misinformation”. This is propaganda speak for censorship; the state (or any authority) has sole propriety over the truth and deems any contrary information to be dangerous. The censorship in this case takes the form of algorithms that direct users to the WHO.

- In a statement titled, “Vaccine Misinformation: Statement by WHO Director-General on Facebook and Instagram” the WHO announces a partnership with Facebook to combat “misinformation”. Several paragraphs from the statement on the WHO website:¹²

10 New Eastern Outlook website - [Can We Trust the WHO?](#)

11 WHO PDF - [Virtual Meeting of Strategic Advisory Group of Experts \(SAGE\) on Immunization, 31 March-1 April 2020, Declaration of Interests](#)

12 WHO website - [Vaccine Misinformation: Statement by WHO Director-General on Facebook and Instagram](#)

- The World Health Organization welcomes the commitment by Facebook to ensure that users find facts about vaccines across Instagram, Facebook Search, Groups, Pages and forums where people seek out information and advice.

- Facebook will direct millions of its users to WHO's accurate and reliable vaccine information in several languages, to ensure that vital health messages reach people who need them the most.

- The World Health Organization and Facebook have been in discussions for several months to ensure people can access authoritative information on vaccines and reduce the spread of inaccuracies.

- Facebook published its statement about its intent to support only the sanctioned information. Some excerpts from Facebook's statement, March 7, 2019: ¹³

- We are working to tackle vaccine misinformation on Facebook by reducing its distribution and providing people with authoritative information on the topic. We are starting by taking a series of steps.

- We will reduce the ranking of groups and Pages that spread misinformation about vaccinations in News Feed and Search. These groups and Pages will not be included in recommendations or in predictions when you type into Search.

- Leading global health organizations, such as the World Health Organization and the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, have publicly identified verifiable vaccine hoaxes. If these vaccine hoaxes appear on Facebook, we will take action against them.

The World Economic Forum

The World Health Organization is collaborating with the World Economic Forum (WEF) on the Covid Action Platform. (For more on the WEF, the organization at the heart of the global coup and the technocratic new normal, see my PDF about them.)

- From a WEF web page announcing the launch of the Covid Action Platform: ¹⁴

- The Forum's Covid Action Platform is created with the support of the World Health Organization and is open to all businesses and industry groups, as well as other stakeholders, aiming to integrate and inform joint action.

- The platform will operate a network where CEOs, organizational leaders and designated Covid-19 corporate responders can offer their help and team up on specific projects, launch actions and keep each other informed of best practices.

13 Facebook (Meta) website - [Combatting Vaccine Misinformation](#)

14 WEF website - [World Economic Forum launches COVID-19 Action Platform to fight coronavirus](#)

- On the Covid Action Platform website they have introduced over 35 platform projects which they say are now available for public and private organizations to join and engage. Here's excerpts from two of them; one wants everyone's body monitored from afar, the other wants a universal global database of health status information for digital passes required to travel: ¹⁵

- Managing Epidemics with Consumer Wearables aims to establish an ethical approach for public health stakeholders to respond to pandemics using insights derived from consumer wearable devices - behavioral and physiological data from consumer Internet of Things (IoT) devices to diagnose or predict health outcomes. Programs established by technology companies provide a critical pathway for researchers to access these datasets. To unlock this potential the World Economic Forum is partnering with public health authorities, researchers and technology companies. This project is led by the Platform for Shaping the Future of IoT, Robotics and Smart Cities. (Some of the participating partners are Amazon Web Services, Apple, the Atlantic Council, Facebook, and Google.) ¹⁶

- Common Trust Network: As countries around the world work to overcome the COVID-19 pandemic and restart their economies, they all face the challenge of how to reopen their borders and allow travel and commerce to resume while protecting their populations' health. The Commons Project Foundation and the World Economic Forum have launched the Common Trust Network ... to help ensure that only verifiable lab results and vaccination records from trusted sources are presented for the purposes of cross-border travel and commerce. ¹⁷

The United Nations Sustainable Development Group

Most people probably view the United Nations as an organization working for the good of all. But the UN has agendas. The United Nations Agenda 2030 is a big part of the picture of the technocratic new normal. (Or perhaps it would be equally accurate to say that the Covid response is a big part of Agenda 2030's new normal.)

With Agenda 2030 the United Nations has laid out a program called Sustainable Development Goals. There are 17 goals that they hope to meet by 2030. They sound wonderful on the surface; who doesn't like "sustainable"? But are these goals what the people really want? And how will they be achieved?

15 WEF website - [Covid Action Platform](#)

16 WEF website - [Managing Epidemics with Consumer Wearables](#)

17 WEF website - [Common Trust Network](#)

- In March, 2019 the WHO sponsored a forum to “co-develop a new vision and strategy for vaccines and immunization covering the period 2021-2030.” Note in the excerpt below the use of the word “stakeholders”, a term the World Economic Forum uses for the core of its Great Reset: Stakeholder Capitalism. From the WHO website: ¹⁸
 - “WHO is very pleased to be leading this effort in partnership with all stakeholders, working towards creating a new vision and strategy for immunization,” said Dr Peter Salama, Executive Director, Universal Health Coverage/Life Course.
- One of the Keynote speakers was Kate Gilmore, United Nations Deputy High Commissioner for Human Rights. Speaking about vaccinations she made it clear that she has no idea what “human rights” means. Forced vaccinations is how she envisions achieving the right to healthcare. Kate Gilmore quoted in an article about the forum on the WHO website: ¹⁸
 - People must be at the centre of healthcare and their free and equal rights to essential healthcare services such as vaccinations must be observed throughout their life-course, without discrimination.
 - There is no such thing as a right to refuse vaccines when the consequences of doing so is to be borne by others and undermine the rights of others to health, as recognized in the International Human Rights Framework.

The Decade of Vaccines Collaboration

- The Decade of Vaccines is another brainchild of Bill Gates, this in collaboration with the WHO and other organizations. From the WHO website: ¹⁹
 - New York, December 2, 2010 - The World Health Organization (WHO), UNICEF, the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases (NIAID) and the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation have announced a collaboration to increase coordination across the international vaccine community and create a Global Vaccine Action Plan. ... The collaboration follows the January 2010 call by Bill and Melinda Gates for the next ten years to be the Decade of Vaccines.
- On the Decade of Vaccines Leadership Council: ¹⁹
 - Dr. Margaret Chan, Director General of WHO.
 - Dr. Anthony S. Fauci, Director of NIAID.

¹⁸ WHO website - [Launch of forum with partners to discuss the future decade of immunization](#)

¹⁹ WHO website - [Global Health Leaders Launch Decade of Vaccines Collaboration](#)

The WHO and the 2009 Swine Flu Pandemic Scam

In 2009 the World Health Organization declared the current swine flu a pandemic. This was done in co-ordination with vaccine manufacturers in order to sell vaccines. One month before declaring the Swine Flu (H1N1) a “pandemic” the WHO changed the definition of pandemic to a less stringent one. The “pandemic” proved to be milder than the flu.

- From the WHO website via the Way Back Machine, archive.org, capturing an April 18, 2009 page titled, “Epidemic and Pandemic Alert and Response (EPR)”, read the previous definition: ²⁰
 - An influenza pandemic: An influenza pandemic occurs when a new influenza virus appears against which the human population has no immunity, resulting in epidemics worldwide with enormous numbers of deaths and illness.
- One month later we find the definition is changed to something much milder. Note that “enormous numbers of deaths and illness” has been replaced with “more cases of that disease than normal”. From the same WHO website page with the same title, but this time from May 20, 2009, we find the new definition: ²¹
 - What is an influenza pandemic? A disease epidemic occurs when there are more cases of that disease than normal. A pandemic is a worldwide epidemic of a disease. An influenza pandemic may occur when a new influenza virus appears against which the human population has no immunity.
- Now, using the new milder definition of pandemic, one is declared. From the transcript of a statement by Margaret Chan, Director-General of the World Health Organization, June 11, 2009: ²²
 - On the basis of available evidence and these expert assessments of the evidence, the scientific criteria for an influenza pandemic have been met. I have therefore decided to raise the level of influenza pandemic alert from Phase 5 to Phase 6. The world is now at the start of the 2009 influenza pandemic.

20 WHO website, April 18, 2009 - [Epidemic and Pandemic Alert and Response \(EPR\)](#) (note the capture date at the top)

21 WHO website, May 20, 2009 - [Epidemic and Pandemic Alert and Response \(EPR\)](#) (note the capture date at the top)

22 WHO PDF - [Transcript of statement by Margaret Chan, Director-General of the World Health Organization 11 June 2009](#)

- On the Europa.is website, they have a video of several news reports that cover the details of the scam. The video is in Spanish but click the gear icon to get subtitles. Under the video they outline a short “Pandemic timeline”:²³
 - April 2009 – the first case of swine flu/influenza A was declared in Mexico.
 - May 2009 – the WHO changed its original 2005 definition of “Pandemic”, lowering its standard by removing the criterion for a high mortality rate.
 - June 2009 – the WHO now declares “Pandemic” for the N1H1 [*sic*] virus: the swine flu or influenza A. This forces all countries in the world to spend billions to buy vaccines from Big Pharma for the whole population.
 - December 2009 – only a few months later, the pandemic proved to be unfounded, it was less than an ordinary flu. Most of the purchased vaccines were left unused and had to be thrown away or ‘placed’ in developing countries, with no clear objective.
 - March 2020 almost identical situation for Covid-19, with the main difference that the media is now deeply involved, although broadcasting a single one-sided message: that the situation is an emergency and that the only solution is to vaccinate everybody, even if many experts have completely dissenting opinions.
 - In fact both Dr. Germán Velazques (director at the WHO) and Dr. Wolfgang Wodarg (director EU commission of health) condemned the fact that commercial profit greatly influenced WHO’s decision in 2009. Now, 11 years later, those private commercial interests only have increased, exponentially!
- The line in the timeline above saying “This forces all countries in the world to spend billions to buy vaccines from Big Pharma for the whole population” is because in 2004 the WHO and many countries worked out a plan for the countries to stockpile vaccines ahead of any outbreak. From the Guardian website, June 3, 2010:²⁴
 - Scientists who drew up the key World Health Organisation guidelines advising governments to stockpile drugs in the event of a flu pandemic had previously been paid by drug companies which stood to profit, according to a report out today.
 - An investigation by the British Medical Journal and the Bureau of Investigative Journalism, the not-for-profit reporting unit, shows that WHO guidance issued in 2004 was authored by three scientists who had

23 Europa.is website - [May 2009: WHO lowers criteria of “Pandemic” definition](#)

24 The Guardian website - [Report condemns swine flu experts' ties to big pharma](#)

previously received payment for other work from Roche, which makes Tamiflu, and GlaxoSmithKline (GSK), manufacturer of Relenza.

- City analysts say that pharmaceutical companies banked more than \$7bn (£4.8bn) as governments stockpiled drugs. The issue of transparency has risen to the forefront of public health debate after dramatic predictions last year about a swine flu pandemic did not come true.

- The UK, which warned that 65,000 could die as a result of the virus, spent an estimated £1bn stockpiling drugs and vaccines; officials are now attempting to unpick expensive drug contracts.

The WHO's China Connection

Margaret Chan

- Dr. Margaret Chan was the WHO Director-General from November 9, 2006 to July 1, 2017. As mentioned above in the previous section she was the person who declared the bogus pandemic in 2009.²⁵
- Dr Margaret Chan appointed another Chinese, Peng Liyuan, as WHO Goodwill Ambassador for Tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS.

Peng Liyuan

- On June 3, 2011, the WHO announced the appointment of Peng Liyuan as WHO's Goodwill Ambassador. From the WHO website:²⁶
 - Acclaimed Chinese vocal artist, Ms Peng Liyuan, was appointed Goodwill Ambassador for Tuberculosis (TB) and HIV/AIDS today by the WHO's Director-General Margaret Chan.
 - "Ms Peng has captured the attention of millions of fans through her compelling performances," Dr. Chan continued. "Through her increased profile on a global platform, she will reinforce international commitments to achieving the health-related Millennium Development Goals, set for 2015."
 - As one of the most popular vocal artists in China, Ms Peng has an enormous following that spans millions of people. She has won numerous awards for her singing as well as her humanitarian work. For more than five years, Ms. Peng has been working with the Chinese Ministry of Health to spread awareness about preventable diseases.

25 WHO PDF - [Transcript of statement by Margaret Chan, Director-General of the World Health Organization 11 June 2009](#)

26 WHO website - [Ms Peng Liyuan is appointed WHO Goodwill Ambassador](#)

- But the WHO's article didn't tell the whole story. From Wikipedia: ²⁷
 - Peng Liyuan is a Chinese contemporary folk singer who is married to the current General Secretary of the Chinese Communist Party and President, Xi Jinping.

Bruce Aylward

The information about Bruce Aylward appears here in this section instead of the earlier People in the WHO section because it is about him singing the praises of China.

- From the WHO's "WHO headquarters leadership team" page, Bruce Aylward's title is "Senior Advisor to the Director-General, Organizational Change". In February 2020 Aylward led the "WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)". ²⁸
- From a WHO PDF of a February 24, 2020 press conference on the WHO-China Joint Mission on COVID-19, Aylward praised China's response: ²⁹
 - In the face of a previously unknown disease, China has taken one of the most ancient approaches for infectious disease control and rolled out probably the most ambitious, and I would say, agile and aggressive disease containment effort in history.
- The next day, on February 25 in Geneva, the WHO, featuring Bruce Aylward, held a press conference talking about the China mission. In a video posted on the China Global Television Network's YouTube channel, in clips from his WHO press conference, they highlight Aylward's praises of China: ³⁰
 - The first thing in the response is there has to be a shift in the mindsets. Again, around the world, people are thinking, how do we live with this and manage this disaster. Instead of, this virus has got to come. It's going to show up in our country. We're going to find it in the first week. We're going to find every case. We're going to go after every contact. We're going to make sure that we can isolate them and keep these people alive, so they survive the case. The rest of the world would access the expertise of China. They've done this at scale. They know what they're doing and they're really, really good at it. And they're really keen to help.
 - If I had Covid-19 I'd want to be treated in China.

27 Wikipedia - [Peng Liyuan](#)

28 WHO website - [WHO headquarters leadership team](#)

29 WHO PDF - [Subject: Press Conference of WHO-China Joint Mission on COVID-19](#)

30 China Global Television Network's YouTube video - [If I had COVID-19, I want to be treated in China, says WHO official](#)

The WHO Engineers the Worldwide Lockdown and Praises China's Lockdown

- On January 3, 2020, Chinese officials reported a total of 44 sick patients to the WHO. Of those 44, 11 were reported to be severely ill and the rest in stable condition.³¹
- On January 23, from a WHO "Situation Report", the WHO reported:³²
 - A total of 581 confirmed cases have been reported for novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) globally.
 - Of the 581 cases reported, 571 cases were reported from China.
 - Of the 571 cases, 95 cases are severely ill.
 - Seventeen deaths have been reported (all from Hubei Province).
- January 28, Tedros goes to China and meets with Xi Jinping. From the Science the Wire website "Crisis Timeline":³³
 - January 28: President Xi meets Tedros in Beijing and says China has responded in a timely, open, transparent and responsible manner, and is ready to work with the WHO and the international community.
- On January 30, Tedros praised China for "setting a new standard" for responding to disease outbreaks. From the WHO website's page, "WHO Director-General's statement on IHR Emergency Committee on Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV)":³⁴
 - As I have said repeatedly since my return from Beijing, the Chinese government is to be congratulated for the extraordinary measures it has taken to contain the outbreak.
 - We would have seen many more cases outside China by now – and probably deaths – if it were not for the government's efforts, and the progress they have made to protect their own people and the people of the world.
 - In many ways, China is actually setting a new standard for outbreak response. It's not an exaggeration.
- On February 24, a WHO report, "Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19)", continued to praised China's "non-pharmaceutical measures", and argued for contact tracing, quarantine and essentially, lockdowns as the needed approach:³⁵

31 WHO website - [Pneumonia of unknown cause – China](#)

32 WHO PDF - [Novel Coronavirus \(2019-nCoV\) Situation Report-3 - 23 January 2020](#)

33 Science the Wire website - [WHO Team Begins Looking for COVID's Origins in Wuhan: Crisis Timeline](#)

34 WHO website - [WHO Director-General's statement on IHR Emergency Committee on Novel Coronavirus \(2019-nCoV\)](#)

35 WHO PDF - [Report of the WHO-China Joint Mission on Coronavirus Disease 2019 \(COVID-19\)](#)

- China's uncompromising and rigorous use of non-pharmaceutical measures to contain transmission of the COVID-19 virus in multiple settings provides vital lessons for the global response. This rather unique and unprecedented public health response in China reversed the escalating cases in both Hubei, where there has been widespread community transmission, and in the importation provinces, where family clusters appear to have driven the outbreak.

- Much of the global community is not yet ready, in mindset and materially, to implement the measures that have been employed to contain COVID-19 in China. These are the only measures that are currently proven to interrupt or minimize transmission chains in humans. Fundamental to these measures is extremely proactive surveillance to immediately detect cases, very rapid diagnosis and immediate case isolation, rigorous tracking and quarantine of close contacts, and an exceptionally high degree of population understanding and acceptance of these measures.

- Two weeks later, March 11, the WHO declared Covid-19 a pandemic.³⁶

The WHO Changes its View on Non-Pharmaceutical Public Health Measures

In 2019 the WHO published a report titled, "Non-pharmaceutical public health measures for mitigating the risk and impact of epidemic and pandemic influenza". In the report they recommended against various social distancing measures, like contact tracing and quarantine.

- First the overview of the report as it now reads on the WHO's website:³⁷

- Influenza pandemics occur at unpredictable intervals, and cause considerable morbidity and mortality. Influenza virus is readily transmissible from person to person, mainly during close contact, and is challenging to control. In the early stage of influenza epidemics and pandemics, there may be delay in the availability of specific vaccines and limited supply of antiviral drugs.

- Non-pharmaceutical interventions (NPIs) are the only set of pandemic countermeasures that are readily available at all times and in all countries. The potential impacts of NPIs on an influenza epidemic or pandemic are to delay the introduction of the pandemic virus into a population; delay the height and peak of the epidemic if the epidemic has started; reduce

36 WHO website - [WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 11 March 2020](#)

37 WHO website - [Non-pharmaceutical public health measures for mitigating the risk and impact of epidemic and pandemic influenza](#)

transmission by personal protective or environmental measures; and reduce the total number of infections and hence the total number of severe cases.

- When this PDF was originally published the Overview was different and included the following paragraph, which notes their NPIs are based on systematically gathered scientific evidence. From a once WHO web page:
 - This document provides recommendations for the use of non-pharmaceutical public health measures for mitigating the risk and impact of epidemic and pandemic influenza. The recommendations are based on review of existing guidance documents and the latest scientific evidences that were gathered through a series of systematic literature reviews on the effectiveness of non-pharmaceutical public health measures.
- In the report itself, on page 3, they have a table, “Recommendations on the use of NPIs by severity level”. The table shows recommendations for both an epidemic and for a pandemic, each for four severity levels, “any, moderate, high, extraordinary.” The table also has a section titled, “Not recommended in any circumstances.” From the 2019 WHO report, not recommended in any circumstances for a pandemic: ³⁸
 - Contact tracing
 - Quarantine of exposed individuals
 - Entry and exit screening
 - Border closure
- In a section called “Summary of Recommendations” where they summarize each non-pharmaceutical intervention they address face masks. Curiously they recommend them while saying there is no evidence that they help, seemingly adopting a just-in-case mentality: ³⁸
 - Face masks worn by asymptomatic people are conditionally recommended in severe epidemics or pandemics, to reduce transmission in the community. Although there is no evidence that this is effective in reducing transmission, there is mechanistic plausibility for the potential effectiveness of this measure.
- Regarding contact tracing: ³⁸
 - Active contact tracing is not recommended in general because there is no obvious rationale for it in most Member States. This intervention could be considered in some locations and circumstances to collect information on the characteristics of the disease and to identify cases, or to delay

38 WHO PDF - [Non-pharmaceutical public health measures for mitigating the risk and impact of epidemic and pandemic influenza](#)

widespread transmission in the very early stages of a pandemic in isolated communities.

- Regarding quarantine of exposed individuals: ^{38 (above)}
 - Home quarantine of exposed individuals to reduce transmission is not recommended because there is no obvious rationale for this measure, and there would be considerable difficulties in implementing it.

That was 2019. But in June, 2020 with the advent of Covid and with the initial lockdown in full swing, and their “not recommended in any circumstances” already having been thrown out the window, they codified their new recommended measures in a media briefing.

- From the WHO website, on a page titled, “WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 5 June 2020”, the WHO calls for masks to be used widely and now contact tracing and quarantine are considered to be the “cornerstone” of a good response: ³⁹

- In light of evolving evidence, WHO advises that governments should encourage the general public to wear masks where there is widespread transmission and physical distancing is difficult, such as on public transport, in shops or in other confined or crowded environments.

- The cornerstone of the response in every country must be to find, isolate, test and care for every case, and to trace and quarantine every contact.

The WHO's "Pandemic Treaty" and IHR Amendment Proposals

Since the Covid inspired worldwide lockdowns and overall State overreach, the WHO has not been resting. They have moved on to working on making a "pandemic treaty" and overhauling the 2005 International Health Regulations. If enacted, the amendments to the IHR would give the WHO incredible license to exceed even the authority they assumed during Covid, overriding both national and personal sovereignty. Rumor has it that the WHO hopes to finalize these things in May, 2024.

This is a monumental threat to the people of the world. I will not go into details in this document as I have made several other PDFs that cover the subject. One man, in particular, James Roguski, has done a lot of work tracking the progress on this. Following is a link to a substack page of his and the PDF I made of that page, as well as two more PDFs, one being an extensive source document I made about the treaty and amendments.

39 WHO website - [WHO Director-General's opening remarks at the media briefing on COVID-19 - 5 June 2020](#)

- James Roguski's substack page: [THE TOP 100 REASONS TO #StopTheTreaty, #StopTheAmendments, And #ExitTheWHO.](#)
- The above page of 100 REASONS in PDF format on my Quasar website: [The Top 100 REASONS to #StopTheTreaty, #StopTheAmendments, and #ExitTheWHO](#)
- A PDF that goes into the actual language behind Roguski's 100 Reasons that's found in the "Pandemic Treaty" and in the International Health Regulations amendment proposals, [The Top 100 REASONS to Nix the WHO – A Deep Dive into the Proposed Treaty & Amendments.](#)
- Lots of sources, both videos and articles about the subject, as well as documents from the WHO horse's mouth, relevant to the treaty and proposed amendments: [Sources for The WHO's Proposed Pandemic Treaty and Proposed Amendments to the 2005 International Health Regulations](#)

Final Thoughts

One thing should be clear about the World Health Organization is that their prime focus is vaccinations. This is the western medicine, technocratic, profitable approach to health in contrast to what could be accomplished if the massive funds from Bill Gates and Big Pharma were used to improve the living conditions of people around the world.

The World Health Organization is riddled with conflicts of interest and is clearly not an honest player in the world of health care. Sadly, because of their stature, they are blindly assumed by many people to be a go-to source for information and recommendations about Covid. The lack of push-back or honest media coverage has allowed the WHO supported lockdowns and other measures to wreak havoc on society.

The idea behind a central health organization is not all bad, but not one that overrides the ability of both individuals and health care providers to make their own choices.

Relevant Links

[5 Disturbing Facts About Dr. Tedros Adhanom, Director-General Of World Health Organization](#)

[Tedros Adhanom Exposed: Money, Blood and Conscience: A novel of Ethiopia's democracy revolution](#)

[China's Propaganda Machine Loves WHO Official Bruce Aylward](#)

[Burundi kicks out top WHO official in country ahead of vote](#)

[Strange Bedfellows?](#)

Thanks to [Pam Popper's Wellness Forum Health](#) for sources used in the China section.